## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Benewah | 9,285 | 52,500.82 | 1.050 | 55,125.86 | 0.592\% | 7,529.46 | 62,655.32 |
| Plummer | 984 | 1,834.39 | 1.050 | 1,926.11 | 0.093\% | 1,181.35 | 3,107.46 |
| St. Maries | 2,609 | 17,074.85 | 1.050 | 17,928.59 | 0.247\% | 3,132.26 | 21,060.85 |
| Tensed | 124 | 160.98 | 1.050 | 169.03 | 0.012\% | 148.87 | 317.90 |
| Subtotal: |  | 71,571.04 |  | 75,149.59 |  | 11,991.94 | 87,141.53 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base. |  |  | $\begin{array}{r\|} \mathbf{9 , 5 3 8 , 8 2 1 . 1 2} \\ \hline \mathbf{1 , 2 6 8 , 5 9 4 . 3 8} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col $3 \times \operatorname{Col} 4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Bingham | 45,607 | 231,180.43 | 1.050 | 242,739.45 | 2.909\% | 36,903.61 | 279,643.06 |
| Aberdeen | 1,775 | 11,358.22 | 1.050 | 11,926.13 | 0.168\% | 2,130.99 | 14,057.12 |
| Atomic City | 25 | 48.07 | 1.050 | 50.47 | 0.002\% | 30.01 | 80.48 |
| Basalt | 425 | 315.46 | 1.050 | 331.23 | 0.040\% | 510.24 | 841.47 |
| Blackfoot | 11,153 | 91,713.00 | 1.050 | 96,298.65 | 1.055\% | 13,389.84 | 109,688.49 |
| Firth | 493 | 1,801.84 | 1.050 | 1,891.93 | 0.047\% | 591.88 | 2,483.81 |
| Shelley | 4,366 | 21,421.67 | 1.050 | 22,492.75 | 0.413\% | 5,241.64 | 27,734.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 357,838.69 |  | 375,730.61 |  | 58,798.21 | 434,528.82 |

[^0]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Blaine | 21,376 | 43,329.86 | 1.050 | 45,496.35 | 1.364\% | 17,742.35 | 63,238.70 |
| Bellevue | 2,229 | 1,141.30 | 1.050 | 1,198.37 | 0.211\% | 2,676.05 | 3,874.42 |
| Carey | 538 | 143.20 | 1.050 | 150.36 | 0.051\% | 645.90 | 796.26 |
| Hailey | 8,075 | 9,871.11 | 1.050 | 10,364.67 | 0.764\% | 9,694.52 | 20,059.19 |
| Ketchum | 3,376 | 13,191.48 | 1.050 | 13,851.05 | 0.319\% | 4,053.09 | 17,904.14 |
| Sun Valley | 1,483 | 13,931.19 | 1.050 | 14,627.75 | 0.140\% | 1,780.43 | 16,408.18 |
| Subtotal: |  | 81,608.14 |  | 85,688.55 |  | 36,592.34 | 122,280.89 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Boise | 7,028 | 37,746.98 | 1.050 | 39,634.33 | 0.448\% | 5,956.18 | 45,590.51 |
| Crouch | 160 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.015\% | 192.09 | 192.09 |
| Horseshoe Bend | 849 | 3,314.36 | 1.050 | 3,480.08 | 0.080\% | 1,019.28 | 4,499.36 |
| Idaho City | 475 | 996.56 | 1.050 | 1,046.39 | 0.045\% | 570.27 | 1,616.66 |
| Placerville | 56 | 160.15 | 1.050 | 168.16 | 0.005\% | 67.23 | 235.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 42,218.05 |  | 44,328.96 |  | 7,805.05 | 52,134.01 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Bonner | 40,877 | 116,262.02 | 1.050 | 122,075.12 | 2.608\% | 33,513.93 | 155,589.05 |
| Clark Fork | 583 | 779.95 | 1.050 | 818.95 | 0.055\% | 699.93 | 1,518.88 |
| Dover | 503 | 429.58 | 1.050 | 451.06 | 0.048\% | 603.88 | 1,054.94 |
| East Hope | 218 | 371.58 | 1.050 | 390.16 | 0.021\% | 261.72 | 651.88 |
| Hope | 86 | 177.92 | 1.050 | 186.82 | 0.008\% | 103.25 | 290.07 |
| Kootenai | 521 | 241.78 | 1.050 | 253.87 | 0.049\% | 625.49 | 879.36 |
| Oldtown | 198 | 345.26 | 1.050 | 362.52 | 0.019\% | 237.71 | 600.23 |
| Ponderay | 729 | 3,121.80 | 1.050 | 3,277.89 | 0.069\% | 875.21 | 4,153.10 |
| Priest River | 1,969 | 5,784.70 | 1.050 | 6,073.94 | 0.186\% | 2,363.90 | 8,437.84 |
| Sandpoint | 8,370 | 31,195.44 | 1.050 | 32,755.21 | 0.792\% | 10,048.69 | 42,803.90 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,710.03 |  | 166,645.54 |  | 49,333.71 | 215,979.25 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1 -Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Boundary | 10,972 | 73,778.68 | 1.050 | 77,467.61 | 0.700\% | 8,992.84 | 86,460.45 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2,567 | 11,032.54 | 1.050 | 11,584.17 | 0.243\% | 3,081.84 | 14,666.01 |
| Moyie Springs | 731 | 955.23 | 1.050 | 1,002.99 | 0.069\% | 877.61 | 1,880.60 |
| Subtotal: |  | 85,766.45 |  | 90,054.77 |  | 12,952.29 | 103,007.06 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Co | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Butte | 2,891 | 26,487.43 | 1.050 | 27,811.80 | 0.184\% | 2,311.56 | 30,123.36 |
| Arco | 989 | 6,282.77 | 1.050 | 6,596.91 | 0.094\% | 1,187.35 | 7,784.26 |
| Butte city | 72 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.007\% | 86.44 | 86.44 |
| Moore | 186 | 217.13 | 1.050 | 227.99 | 0.018\% | 223.30 | 451.29 |
| Subtotal: |  | 32,987.33 |  | 34,636.70 |  | 3,808.65 | 38,445.35 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide | Dollar Distrib | on Infor | ation: |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | tual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populatio | Informati | ion: |  |  | otal City Excess | tribution: | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  |  | County Excess D | tribution: | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Ci | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  |  | City and County D | stribution: | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Camas | 1,117 | 26,939.30 | 1.050 | 28,286.27 | 0.071\% | 887.40 | 29,173.67 |
| Fairfield | 417 | 4,141.38 | 1.050 | 4,348.45 | 0.039\% | 500.63 | 4,849.08 |
| Subtotal: |  | 31,080.68 |  | 32,634.72 |  | 1,388.03 | 34,022.75 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Canyon | 188,923 | 384,194.61 | 1.050 | 403,404.34 | 12.052\% | 153,185.96 | 556,590.30 |
| Caldwell | 43,281 | 136,761.74 | 1.050 | 143,599.83 | 4.096\% | 51,961.43 | 195,561.26 |
| Greenleaf | 944 | 652.66 | 1.050 | 685.29 | 0.089\% | 1,133.33 | 1,818.62 |
| Melba | 569 | 1,115.75 | 1.050 | 1,171.54 | 0.054\% | 683.12 | 1,854.66 |
| Middleton | 5,781 | 4,116.23 | 1.050 | 4,322.04 | 0.547\% | 6,940.44 | 11,262.48 |
| Nampa | 81,241 | 215,441.50 | 1.050 | 226,213.58 | 7.688\% | 97,534.68 | 323,748.26 |
| Notus | 624 | 843.58 | 1.050 | 885.76 | 0.059\% | 749.15 | 1,634.91 |
| Parma | 1,881 | 6,536.50 | 1.050 | 6,863.33 | 0.178\% | 2,258.25 | 9,121.58 |
| Star | 45 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.004\% | 54.03 | 54.03 |
| Wilder | 1,486 | 3,608.00 | 1.050 | 3,788.40 | 0.141\% | 1,784.03 | 5,572.43 |
| Subtotal: |  | 753,270.57 |  | 790,934.11 |  | 316,284.42 | 1,107,218.53 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | s July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Caribou | 6,963 | 116,182.82 | 1.050 | 121,991.96 | 0.444\% | 5,638.69 | 127,630.65 |
| Bancroft | 349 | 4,252.72 | 1.050 | 4,465.36 | 0.033\% | 419.00 | 4,884.36 |
| Grace | 956 | 6,321.31 | 1.050 | 6,637.38 | 0.090\% | 1,147.74 | 7,785.12 |
| Soda Springs | 3,101 | 22,071.79 | 1.050 | 23,175.38 | 0.293\% | 3,722.94 | 26,898.32 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,828.64 |  | 156,270.08 |  | 10,928.37 | 167,198.45 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Cassia | 22,952 | 144,286.35 | 1.050 | 151,500.67 | 1.464\% | 18,273.49 | 169,774.16 |
| Albion | 260 | 496.72 | 1.050 | 521.56 | 0.025\% | 312.15 | 833.71 |
| Burley (part) | 9,002 | 61,301.58 | 1.050 | 64,366.66 | 0.852\% | 10,807.44 | 75,174.10 |
| Declo | 332 | 887.96 | 1.050 | 932.36 | 0.031\% | 398.59 | 1,330.95 |
| Malta | 176 | 401.71 | 1.050 | 421.80 | 0.017\% | 211.30 | 633.10 |
| Oakley | 718 | 1,962.28 | 1.050 | 2,060.39 | 0.068\% | 862.00 | 2,922.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 209,336.60 |  | 219,803.44 |  | 30,864.97 | 250,668.41 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | January 12, 2012 | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Clark | 982 | 52,083.69 | 1.050 | 54,687.87 | 0.063\% | 801.56 | 55,489.43 |
| Dubois | 643 | 3,775.61 | 1.050 | 3,964.39 | 0.061\% | 771.96 | 4,736.35 |
| Spencer | 35 | 135.89 | 1.050 | 142.68 | 0.003\% | 42.02 | 184.70 |
| Subtotal: |  | 55,995.19 |  | 58,794.94 |  | 1,615.54 | 60,410.48 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Clearwater | 8,761 | 151,976.36 | 1.050 | 159,575.18 | 0.559\% | 6,743.40 | 166,318.58 |
| Elk River | 133 | 2,983.26 | 1.050 | 3,132.42 | 0.013\% | 159.67 | 3,292.09 |
| Orofino | 2,987 | 40,282.86 | 1.050 | 42,297.00 | 0.283\% | 3,586.07 | 45,883.07 |
| Pierce | 514 | 5,703.59 | 1.050 | 5,988.77 | 0.049\% | 617.09 | 6,605.86 |
| Weippe | 362 | 4,653.21 | 1.050 | 4,885.87 | 0.034\% | 434.60 | 5,320.47 |
| Subtotal: |  | 205,599.28 |  | 215,879.24 |  | 11,540.83 | 227,420.07 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1 -Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Custer | 4,368 | 54,104.60 | 1.050 | 56,809.83 | 0.279\% | 3,473.37 | 60,283.20 |
| Challis | 956 | 9,018.81 | 1.050 | 9,469.75 | 0.090\% | 1,147.74 | 10,617.49 |
| Clayton | 27 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.003\% | 32.42 | 32.42 |
| Lost River | - | - | 1.050 | - | 0.000\% | - | - |
| Mackay | 547 | 2,969.93 | 1.050 | 3,118.43 | 0.052\% | 656.71 | 3,775.14 |
| Stanley | 105 | 2,055.49 | 1.050 | 2,158.26 | 0.010\% | 126.06 | 2,284.32 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,148.83 |  | 71,556.27 |  | 5,436.30 | 76,992.57 |

[^1]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | January 12, 2012 | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Elmore | 27,038 | 57,157.23 | 1.050 | 60,015.09 | 1.725\% | 22,723.31 | 82,738.40 |
| Glenns Ferry | 1,368 | 11,758.65 | 1.050 | 12,346.58 | 0.129\% | 1,642.37 | 13,988.95 |
| Mountain Home | 12,266 | 48,149.90 | 1.050 | 50,557.40 | 1.161\% | 14,726.07 | 65,283.47 |
| Subtotal: |  | 117,065.78 |  | 122,919.07 |  | 39,091.75 | 162,010.82 |

[^2]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | S July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Franklin | 12,786 | 81,680.47 | 1.050 | 85,764.49 | 0.816\% | 10,334.68 | 96,099.17 |
| Clifton | 239 | 357.76 | 1.050 | 375.65 | 0.023\% | 286.93 | 662.58 |
| Dayton | 444 | 429.41 | 1.050 | 450.88 | 0.042\% | 533.05 | 983.93 |
| Franklin | 691 | 2,362.60 | 1.050 | 2,480.73 | 0.065\% | 829.59 | 3,310.32 |
| Oxford | 52 | 29.54 | 1.050 | 31.02 | 0.005\% | 62.43 | 93.45 |
| Preston | 5,110 | 29,885.45 | 1.050 | 31,379.72 | 0.484\% | 6,134.86 | 37,514.58 |
| Weston | 440 | 990.05 | 1.050 | 1,039.55 | 0.042\% | 528.25 | 1,567.80 |
| Subtotal: |  | 115,735.28 |  | 121,522.04 |  | 18,709.79 | 140,231.83 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Fremont | 13,242 | 79,521.20 | 1.050 | 83,497.26 | 0.845\% | 10,477.22 | 93,974.48 |
| Ashton | 1,089 | 7,028.54 | 1.050 | 7,379.97 | 0.103\% | 1,307.41 | 8,687.38 |
| Drummond | 14 | 33.03 | 1.050 | 34.68 | 0.001\% | 16.81 | 51.49 |
| Island Park | 281 | 531.20 | 1.050 | 557.76 | 0.027\% | 337.36 | 895.12 |
| Newdale | 1,452 | 600.73 | 1.050 | 630.77 | 0.137\% | 1,743.21 | 2,373.98 |
| Parker | 317 | 377.18 | 1.050 | 396.04 | 0.030\% | 380.58 | 776.62 |
| St. Anthony | 3,447 | 13,740.08 | 1.050 | 14,427.08 | 0.326\% | 4,138.33 | 18,565.41 |
| Teton | 671 | 321.67 | 1.050 | 337.75 | 0.064\% | 805.58 | 1,143.33 |
| Warm River | 10 | 8.21 | 1.050 | 8.62 | 0.001\% | 12.01 | 20.63 |
| Subtotal: |  | 102,161.84 |  | 107,269.93 |  | 19,218.51 | 126,488.44 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Gem | 16,719 | 108,796.98 | 1.050 | 114,236.83 | 1.067\% | 13,308.51 | 127,545.34 |
| Emmett | 6,287 | 33,304.25 | 1.050 | 34,969.46 | 0.595\% | 7,547.92 | 42,517.38 |
| Subtotal: |  | 142,101.23 |  | 149,206.29 |  | 20,856.43 | 170,062.72 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Gooding | 15,464 | 77,778.66 | 1.050 | 81,667.59 | 0.986\% | 12,121.06 | 93,788.65 |
| Bliss | 250 | 1,186.22 | 1.050 | 1,245.53 | 0.024\% | 300.14 | 1,545.67 |
| Gooding | 3,209 | 28,144.85 | 1.050 | 29,552.09 | 0.304\% | 3,852.60 | 33,404.69 |
| Hagerman | 823 | 3,264.43 | 1.050 | 3,427.65 | 0.078\% | 988.06 | 4,415.71 |
| Wendell | 2,430 | 11,039.10 | 1.050 | 11,591.06 | 0.230\% | 2,917.36 | 14,508.42 |
| Subtotal: |  | 121,413.26 |  | 127,483.92 |  | 20,179.22 | 147,663.14 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1 -Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Idaho | 16,267 | 162,896.70 | 1.050 | 171,041.54 | 1.038\% | 12,888.17 | 183,929.71 |
| Cottonwood | 1,033 | 11,116.38 | 1.050 | 11,672.20 | 0.098\% | 1,240.18 | 12,912.38 |
| Ferdinand | 148 | 954.86 | 1.050 | 1,002.60 | 0.014\% | 177.68 | 1,180.28 |
| Grangeville | 3,121 | 41,251.59 | 1.050 | 43,314.17 | 0.295\% | 3,746.95 | 47,061.12 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1 | 1.94 | 1.050 | 2.04 | 0.000\% | 1.20 | 3.24 |
| Kooskia | 654 | 5,448.77 | 1.050 | 5,721.21 | 0.062\% | 785.17 | 6,506.38 |
| Riggins | 420 | 3,745.47 | 1.050 | 3,932.74 | 0.040\% | 504.24 | 4,436.98 |
| Stites | 226 | 951.13 | 1.050 | 998.69 | 0.021\% | 271.33 | 1,270.02 |
| White Bird | 106 | 780.96 | 1.050 | 820.01 | 0.010\% | 127.26 | 947.27 |
| Subtotal: |  | 227,147.80 |  | 238,505.20 |  | 19,742.18 | 258,247.38 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Kootenai | 138,494 | 160,835.74 | 1.050 | 168,877.53 | 8.835\% | 113,500.32 | 282,377.85 |
| Athol | 688 | 665.24 | 1.050 | 698.50 | 0.065\% | 825.99 | 1,524.49 |
| Coeur d'Alene | 43,805 | 93,802.91 | 1.050 | 98,493.06 | 4.146\% | 52,590.52 | 151,083.58 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2,392 | 855.88 | 1.050 | 898.67 | 0.226\% | 2,871.74 | 3,770.41 |
| Fernan Lake Village | 183 | 159.68 | 1.050 | 167.66 | 0.017\% | 219.70 | 387.36 |
| Harrison | 288 | 495.37 | 1.050 | 520.14 | 0.027\% | 345.76 | 865.90 |
| Hauser Lake | 770 | 66.06 | 1.050 | 69.36 | 0.073\% | 924.43 | 993.79 |
| Hayden | 13,190 | 3,361.25 | 1.050 | 3,529.31 | 1.248\% | 15,835.38 | 19,364.69 |
| Hayden Lake | 564 | 615.05 | 1.050 | 645.80 | 0.053\% | 677.12 | 1,322.92 |
| Huetter | 97 | 3.21 | 1.050 | 3.37 | 0.009\% | 116.45 | 119.82 |
| Post Falls | 26,909 | 27,390.66 | 1.050 | 28,760.19 | 2.547\% | 32,305.87 | 61,066.06 |
| Rathdrum | 6,948 | 3,489.50 | 1.050 | 3,663.98 | 0.658\% | 8,341.49 | 12,005.47 |
| Spirit Lake | 1,755 | 1,451.48 | 1.050 | 1,524.05 | 0.166\% | 2,106.98 | 3,631.03 |
| State Line | 62 | 7.00 | 1.050 | 7.35 | 0.006\% | 74.43 | 81.78 |
| Worley | 244 | 399.95 | 1.050 | 419.95 | 0.023\% | 292.94 | 712.89 |
| Subtotal: |  | 293,598.98 |  | 308,278.92 |  | 231,029.12 | 539,308.04 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Latah | 37,244 | 84,853.49 | 1.050 | 89,096.16 | 2.376\% | 30,897.93 | 119,994.09 |
| Bovill | 300 | 484.18 | 1.050 | 508.39 | 0.028\% | 360.17 | 868.56 |
| Deary | 564 | 1,520.55 | 1.050 | 1,596.58 | 0.053\% | 677.12 | 2,273.70 |
| Genesee | 914 | 3,525.14 | 1.050 | 3,701.40 | 0.086\% | 1,097.31 | 4,798.71 |
| Juliaetta | 604 | 2,042.06 | 1.050 | 2,144.16 | 0.057\% | 725.14 | 2,869.30 |
| Kendrick | 361 | 1,912.15 | 1.050 | 2,007.76 | 0.034\% | 433.40 | 2,441.16 |
| Moscow | 24,338 | 59,863.84 | 1.050 | 62,857.03 | 2.303\% | 29,219.23 | 92,076.26 |
| Onaway | 226 | 29.08 | 1.050 | 30.53 | 0.021\% | 271.33 | 301.86 |
| Potlatch | 769 | 1,849.94 | 1.050 | 1,942.44 | 0.073\% | 923.23 | 2,865.67 |
| Troy | 808 | 2,657.84 | 1.050 | 2,790.73 | 0.076\% | 970.05 | 3,760.78 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,738.27 |  | 166,675.18 |  | 65,574.91 | 232,250.09 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | January 12, 2012 | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lemhi | 7,936 | 94,798.48 | 1.050 | 99,538.40 | 0.506\% | 6,446.80 | 105,985.20 |
| Leadore | 87 | 448.49 | 1.050 | 470.91 | 0.008\% | 104.45 | 575.36 |
| Salmon | 3,141 | 49,335.28 | 1.050 | 51,802.04 | 0.297\% | 3,770.96 | 55,573.00 |
| Subtotal: |  | 144,582.25 |  | 151,811.35 |  | 10,322.21 | 162,133.56 |

[^3]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lewis | 3,821 | 30,283.70 | 1.050 | 31,797.89 | 0.244\% | 3,029.36 | 34,827.25 |
| Craigmont | 540 | 5,928.18 | 1.050 | 6,224.59 | 0.051\% | 648.30 | 6,872.89 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1,129 | 5,992.52 | 1.050 | 6,292.15 | 0.107\% | 1,355.43 | 7,647.58 |
| Nezperce | 504 | 4,301.35 | 1.050 | 4,516.42 | 0.048\% | 605.08 | 5,121.50 |
| Reubens | 72 | 188.66 | 1.050 | 198.09 | 0.007\% | 86.44 | 284.53 |
| Winchester | 302 | 1,936.86 | 1.050 | 2,033.70 | 0.029\% | 362.57 | 2,396.27 |
| Subtotal: |  | 48,631.27 |  | 51,062.84 |  | 6,087.18 | 57,150.02 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total City Excess | istribution: |  |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Madison | 37,536 | 68,357.92 | 1.050 | 71,775.82 | 2.395\% | 30,970.67 | 102,746.49 |
| Rexburg | 28,856 | 22,123.51 | 1.050 | 23,229.69 | 2.731\% | 34,643.36 | 57,873.05 |
| Sugar City | 1,677 | 1,163.54 | 1.050 | 1,221.72 | 0.159\% | 2,013.34 | 3,235.06 |
| Subtotal: |  | 91,644.97 |  | 96,227.23 |  | 67,627.37 | 163,854.60 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population' | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Nez Perce | 39,265 | 210,437.17 | 1.050 | 220,959.03 | 2.505\% | 31,988.20 | 252,947.23 |
| Culdesac | 379 | 1,449.62 | 1.050 | 1,522.10 | 0.036\% | 455.01 | 1,977.11 |
| Lapwai | 1,129 | 1,086.51 | 1.050 | 1,140.84 | 0.107\% | 1,355.43 | 2,496.27 |
| Lewiston | 31,887 | 354,768.73 | 1.050 | 372,507.17 | 3.018\% | 38,282.25 | 410,789.42 |
| Peck | 188 | 495.57 | 1.050 | 520.35 | 0.018\% | 225.71 | 746.06 |
| Subtotal: |  | 568,237.60 |  | 596,649.49 |  | 72,306.60 | 668,956.09 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Oneida | 4,286 | 48,505.51 | 1.050 | 50,930.79 | 0.273\% | 3,450.36 | 54,381.15 |
| Malad City | 2,130 | 18,390.19 | 1.050 | 19,309.70 | 0.202\% | 2,557.19 | 21,866.89 |
| Subtotal: |  | 66,895.70 |  | 70,240.49 |  | 6,007.55 | 76,248.04 |

[^4]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | s July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Payette | 22,623 | 68,243.72 | 1.050 | 71,655.91 | 1.443\% | 18,619.49 | 90,275.40 |
| Fruitland | 4,774 | 12,424.01 | 1.050 | 13,045.21 | 0.452\% | 5,731.47 | 18,776.68 |
| New Plymouth | 490 | 5,567.06 | 1.050 | 5,845.41 | 0.046\% | 588.27 | 6,433.68 |
| Payette | 7,677 | 30,056.93 | 1.050 | 31,559.78 | 0.727\% | 9,216.70 | 40,776.48 |
| Subtotal: |  | 116,291.72 |  | 122,106.31 |  | 34,155.93 | 156,262.24 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Information: |  |  | January 12, 2012 <br> Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Power | 7,817 | 49,259.23 | 1.050 | 51,722.19 | 0.499\% | 6,458.07 | 58,180.26 |
| American Falls | 4,093 | 18,637.82 | 1.050 | 19,569.71 | 0.387\% | 4,913.89 | 24,483.60 |
| Pocatello (part) | 220 | 290.54 | 1.050 | 305.07 | 0.021\% | 264.12 | 569.19 |
| Rockland | 312 | 511.92 | 1.050 | 537.52 | 0.030\% | 374.57 | 912.09 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,699.51 |  | 72,134.49 |  | 12,010.65 | 84,145.14 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Teton | 10,170 | 27,565.21 | 1.050 | 28,943.47 | 0.649\% | 7,877.22 | 36,820.69 |
| Driggs | 1,439 | 4,611.19 | 1.050 | 4,841.75 | 0.136\% | 1,727.61 | 6,569.36 |
| Tetonia | 244 | 606.12 | 1.050 | 636.43 | 0.023\% | 292.94 | 929.37 |
| Victor | 1,883 | 2,377.76 | 1.050 | 2,496.65 | 0.178\% | 2,260.65 | 4,757.30 |
| Subtotal: |  | 35,160.28 |  | 36,918.30 |  | 12,158.42 | 49,076.72 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1 -Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011

| January 12, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Counties July 2010 |  | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Twin Falls | 77,230 | 241,767.02 | 1.050 | 253,855.37 | 4.927\% | 62,271.98 | 316,127.35 |
| Buhl | 4,109 | 34,807.53 | 1.050 | 36,547.91 | 0.389\% | 4,933.10 | 41,481.01 |
| Castleford | 274 | 305.35 | 1.050 | 320.62 | 0.026\% | 328.95 | 649.57 |
| Filer | 2,227 | 13,806.95 | 1.050 | 14,497.30 | 0.211\% | 2,673.65 | 17,170.95 |
| Hansen | 1,051 | 2,213.39 | 1.050 | 2,324.06 | 0.099\% | 1,261.79 | 3,585.85 |
| Hollister | 245 | 268.86 | 1.050 | 282.30 | 0.023\% | 294.14 | 576.44 |
| Kimberly | 3,248 | 9,364.27 | 1.050 | 9,832.48 | 0.307\% | 3,899.42 | 13,731.90 |
| Murtaugh | 149 | 1,198.79 | 1.050 | 1,258.73 | 0.014\% | 178.88 | 1,437.61 |
| Twin Falls | 42,741 | 279,925.76 | 1.050 | 293,922.05 | 4.045\% | 51,313.13 | 345,235.18 |
| Subtotal: |  | 583,657.92 |  | 612,840.82 |  | 127,155.04 | 739,995.86 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\mathbf{9 , 5 3 8 , 8 2 1 . 1 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 8 , 5 9 4 . 3 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Valley | 9,862 | 39,713.84 | 1.050 | 41,699.53 | 0.629\% | 7,289.75 | 48,989.28 |
| Cascade | 972 | 6,140.79 | 1.050 | 6,447.83 | 0.092\% | 1,166.94 | 7,614.77 |
| Donnelly | 139 | 473.99 | 1.050 | 497.69 | 0.013\% | 166.88 | 664.57 |
| McCall | 2,554 | 20,863.48 | 1.050 | 21,906.65 | 0.242\% | 3,066.23 | 24,972.88 |
| Subtotal: |  | 67,192.10 |  | 70,551.70 |  | 11,689.80 | 82,241.50 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2011
January 12, 2012

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,268,594.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2010 | 1,567,582 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,268,594.38 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,076,009.88 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Washington | 10,198 | 94,870.92 | 1.050 | 99,614.47 | 0.651\% | 8,255.71 | 107,870.18 |
| Cambridge | 374 | 1,557.33 | 1.050 | 1,635.20 | 0.035\% | 449.01 | 2,084.21 |
| Midvale | 211 | 378.36 | 1.050 | 397.28 | 0.020\% | 253.32 | 650.60 |
| Weiser | 5,222 | 46,478.74 | 1.050 | 48,802.68 | 0.494\% | 6,269.32 | 55,072.00 |
| Subtotal: |  | 143,285.35 |  | 150,449.63 |  | 15,227.36 | 165,676.99 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.


[^0]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^1]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding

[^2]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^3]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^4]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

