## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010

## Statewide Dollar Distribution Information:

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9,576,129.42 \\ \hline 1,524,549.03 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Adams | 3,520 | 73,813.67 | 1.050 | 77,504.35 | 0.228\% | 3,471.61 | 80,975.96 |
| Council | 678 | 5,105.61 | 1.050 | 5,360.89 | 0.064\% | 978.21 | 6,339.10 |
| New Meadows | 351 | 3,278.59 | 1.050 | 3,442.52 | 0.033\% | 506.42 | 3,948.94 |
| Subtotal: |  | 82,197.87 |  | 86,307.76 |  | 4,956.24 | 91,264.00 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010

## Statewide Dollar Distribution Information:



Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Benewah | 9,258 | 52,500.82 | 1.050 | 55,125.86 | 0.599\% | 9,130.72 | 64,256.58 |
| Plummer | 984 | 1,834.39 | 1.050 | 1,926.11 | 0.093\% | 1,419.70 | 3,345.81 |
| St. Maries | 2,609 | 17,074.85 | 1.050 | 17,928.59 | 0.247\% | 3,764.23 | 21,692.82 |
| Tensed | 124 | 160.98 | 1.050 | 169.03 | 0.012\% | 178.91 | 347.94 |
| Subtotal: |  | 71,571.04 |  | 75,149.59 |  | 14,493.56 | 89,643.15 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Bingham | 44,668 | 231,180.43 | 1.050 | 242,739.45 | 2.890\% | 44,053.90 | 286,793.35 |
| Aberdeen | 1,775 | 11,358.22 | 1.050 | 11,926.13 | 0.168\% | 2,560.95 | 14,487.08 |
| Atomic City | 25 | 48.07 | 1.050 | 50.47 | 0.002\% | 36.07 | 86.54 |
| Basalt | 425 | 315.46 | 1.050 | 331.23 | 0.040\% | 613.18 | 944.41 |
| Blackfoot | 11,153 | 91,713.00 | 1.050 | 96,298.65 | 1.055\% | 16,091.41 | 112,390.06 |
| Firth | 493 | 1,801.84 | 1.050 | 1,891.93 | 0.047\% | 711.29 | 2,603.22 |
| Shelley | 4,366 | 21,421.67 | 1.050 | 22,492.75 | 0.413\% | 6,299.21 | 28,791.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 357,838.69 |  | 375,730.61 |  | 70,366.01 | 446,096.62 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Blaine | 22,328 | 43,329.86 | 1.050 | 45,496.35 | 1.444\% | 22,021.03 | 67,517.38 |
| Bellevue | 2,229 | 1,141.30 | 1.050 | 1,198.37 | 0.211\% | 3,215.97 | 4,414.34 |
| Carey | 538 | 143.20 | 1.050 | 150.36 | 0.051\% | 776.22 | 926.58 |
| Hailey | 8,075 | 9,871.11 | 1.050 | 10,364.67 | 0.764\% | 11,650.51 | 22,015.18 |
| Ketchum | 3,376 | 13,191.48 | 1.050 | 13,851.05 | 0.319\% | 4,870.85 | 18,721.90 |
| Sun Valley | 1,483 | 13,931.19 | 1.050 | 14,627.75 | 0.140\% | 2,139.65 | 16,767.40 |
| Subtotal: |  | 81,608.14 |  | 85,688.55 |  | 44,674.23 | 130,362.78 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Boise | 7,445 | 37,746.98 | 1.050 | 39,634.33 | 0.482\% | 7,342.64 | 46,976.97 |
| Crouch | 160 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.015\% | 230.85 | 230.85 |
| Horseshoe Bend | 849 | 3,314.36 | 1.050 | 3,480.08 | 0.080\% | 1,224.93 | 4,705.01 |
| Idaho City | 475 | 996.56 | 1.050 | 1,046.39 | 0.045\% | 685.32 | 1,731.71 |
| Placerville | 56 | 160.15 | 1.050 | 168.16 | 0.005\% | 80.80 | 248.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 42,218.05 |  | 44,328.96 |  | 9,564.54 | 53,893.50 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Bonner | 41,403 | 116,262.02 | 1.050 | 122,075.12 | 2.678\% | 40,833.78 | 162,908.90 |
| Clark Fork | 583 | 779.95 | 1.050 | 818.95 | 0.055\% | 841.15 | 1,660.10 |
| Dover | 503 | 429.58 | 1.050 | 451.06 | 0.048\% | 725.72 | 1,176.78 |
| East Hope | 218 | 371.58 | 1.050 | 390.16 | 0.021\% | 314.53 | 704.69 |
| Hope | 86 | 177.92 | 1.050 | 186.82 | 0.008\% | 124.08 | 310.90 |
| Kootenai | 521 | 241.78 | 1.050 | 253.87 | 0.049\% | 751.69 | 1,005.56 |
| Oldtown | 198 | 345.26 | 1.050 | 362.52 | 0.019\% | 285.67 | 648.19 |
| Ponderay | 729 | 3,121.80 | 1.050 | 3,277.89 | 0.069\% | 1,051.79 | 4,329.68 |
| Priest River | 1,969 | 5,784.70 | 1.050 | 6,073.94 | 0.186\% | 2,840.85 | 8,914.79 |
| Sandpoint | 8,370 | 31,195.44 | 1.050 | 32,755.21 | 0.792\% | 12,076.13 | 44,831.34 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,710.03 |  | 166,645.54 |  | 59,845.39 | 226,490.93 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Information: |  |  | October 22, 2010 <br> Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Boundary | 10,951 | 73,778.68 | 1.050 | 77,467.61 | 0.708\% | 10,800.44 | 88,268.05 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2,567 | 11,032.54 | 1.050 | 11,584.17 | 0.243\% | 3,703.64 | 15,287.81 |
| Moyie Springs | 731 | 955.23 | 1.050 | 1,002.99 | 0.069\% | 1,054.68 | 2,057.67 |
| Subtotal: |  | 85,766.45 |  | 90,054.77 |  | 15,558.76 | 105,613.53 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Butte | 2,764 | 26,487.43 | 1.050 | 27,811.80 | 0.179\% | 2,726.00 | 30,537.80 |
| Arco | 989 | 6,282.77 | 1.050 | 6,596.91 | 0.094\% | 1,426.92 | 8,023.83 |
| Butte city | 72 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.007\% | 103.88 | 103.88 |
| Moore | 186 | 217.13 | 1.050 | 227.99 | 0.018\% | 268.36 | 496.35 |
| Subtotal: |  | 32,987.33 |  | 34,636.70 |  | 4,525.16 | 39,161.86 |

[^0]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | tual Base: | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Camas | 1,109 | 26,939.30 | 1.050 | 28,286.27 | 0.072\% | 1,093.75 | 29,380.02 |
| Fairfield | 417 | 4,141.38 | 1.050 | 4,348.45 | 0.039\% | 601.64 | 4,950.09 |
| Subtotal: |  | 31,080.68 |  | 32,634.72 |  | 1,695.39 | 34,330.11 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 22, 2010 Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Canyon | 186,615 | 384,194.61 | 1.050 | 403,404.34 | 12.072\% | 184,049.38 | 587,453.72 |
| Caldwell | 43,281 | 136,761.74 | 1.050 | 143,599.83 | 4.096\% | 62,445.29 | 206,045.12 |
| Greenleaf | 944 | 652.66 | 1.050 | 685.29 | 0.089\% | 1,361.99 | 2,047.28 |
| Melba | 569 | 1,115.75 | 1.050 | 1,171.54 | 0.054\% | 820.95 | 1,992.49 |
| Middleton | 5,781 | 4,116.23 | 1.050 | 4,322.04 | 0.547\% | 8,340.76 | 12,662.80 |
| Nampa | 81,241 | 215,441.50 | 1.050 | 226,213.58 | 7.688\% | 117,213.52 | 343,427.10 |
| Notus | 624 | 843.58 | 1.050 | 885.76 | 0.059\% | 900.30 | 1,786.06 |
| Parma | 1,881 | 6,536.50 | 1.050 | 6,863.33 | 0.178\% | 2,713.88 | 9,577.21 |
| Star | 45 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.004\% | 64.93 | 64.93 |
| Wilder | 1,486 | 3,608.00 | 1.050 | 3,788.40 | 0.141\% | 2,143.98 | 5,932.38 |
| Subtotal: |  | 753,270.57 |  | 790,934.11 |  | 380,054.98 | 1,170,989.09 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Caribou | 6,914 | 116,182.82 | 1.050 | 121,991.96 | 0.447\% | 6,818.95 | 128,810.91 |
| Bancroft | 349 | 4,252.72 | 1.050 | 4,465.36 | 0.033\% | 503.53 | 4,968.89 |
| Grace | 956 | 6,321.31 | 1.050 | 6,637.38 | 0.090\% | 1,379.31 | 8,016.69 |
| Soda Springs | 3,101 | 22,071.79 | 1.050 | 23,175.38 | 0.293\% | 4,474.08 | 27,649.46 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,828.64 |  | 156,270.08 |  | 13,175.87 | 169,445.95 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Cassia | 21,698 | 144,286.35 | 1.050 | 151,500.67 | 1.404\% | 21,399.69 | 172,900.36 |
| Albion | 260 | 496.72 | 1.050 | 521.56 | 0.025\% | 375.12 | 896.68 |
| Burley (part) | 9,002 | 61,301.58 | 1.050 | 64,366.66 | 0.852\% | 12,987.97 | 77,354.63 |
| Declo | 332 | 887.96 | 1.050 | 932.36 | 0.031\% | 479.01 | 1,411.37 |
| Malta | 176 | 401.71 | 1.050 | 421.80 | 0.017\% | 253.93 | 675.73 |
| Oakley | 718 | 1,962.28 | 1.050 | 2,060.39 | 0.068\% | 1,035.92 | 3,096.31 |
| Subtotal: |  | 209,336.60 |  | 219,803.44 |  | 36,531.64 | 256,335.08 |

[^1]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Clark | 952 | 52,083.69 | 1.050 | 54,687.87 | 0.062\% | 938.91 | 55,626.78 |
| Dubois | 643 | 3,775.61 | 1.050 | 3,964.39 | 0.061\% | 927.71 | 4,892.10 |
| Spencer | 35 | 135.89 | 1.050 | 142.68 | 0.003\% | 50.50 | 193.18 |
| Subtotal: |  | 55,995.19 |  | 58,794.94 |  | 1,917.12 | 60,712.06 |

[^2]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Clearwater | 8,043 | 151,976.36 | 1.050 | 159,575.18 | 0.520\% | 7,932.42 | 167,507.60 |
| Elk River | 133 | 2,983.26 | 1.050 | 3,132.42 | 0.013\% | 191.89 | 3,324.31 |
| Orofino | 2,987 | 40,282.86 | 1.050 | 42,297.00 | 0.283\% | 4,309.61 | 46,606.61 |
| Pierce | 514 | 5,703.59 | 1.050 | 5,988.77 | 0.049\% | 741.59 | 6,730.36 |
| Weippe | 362 | 4,653.21 | 1.050 | 4,885.87 | 0.034\% | 522.29 | 5,408.16 |
| Subtotal: |  | 205,599.28 |  | 215,879.24 |  | 13,697.80 | 229,577.04 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Custer | 4,240 | 54,104.60 | 1.050 | 56,809.83 | 0.274\% | 4,181.71 | 60,991.54 |
| Challis | 956 | 9,018.81 | 1.050 | 9,469.75 | 0.090\% | 1,379.31 | 10,849.06 |
| Clayton | 27 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.003\% | 38.96 | 38.96 |
| Lost River | - | - | 1.050 | - | 0.000\% | - | - |
| Mackay | 547 | 2,969.93 | 1.050 | 3,118.43 | 0.052\% | 789.20 | 3,907.63 |
| Stanley | 105 | 2,055.49 | 1.050 | 2,158.26 | 0.010\% | 151.49 | 2,309.75 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,148.83 |  | 71,556.27 |  | 6,540.67 | 78,096.94 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Elmore | 28,820 | 57,157.23 | 1.050 | 60,015.09 | 1.864\% | 28,423.78 | 88,438.87 |
| Glenns Ferry | 1,368 | 11,758.65 | 1.050 | 12,346.58 | 0.129\% | 1,973.73 | 14,320.31 |
| Mountain Home | 12,266 | 48,149.90 | 1.050 | 50,557.40 | 1.161\% | 17,697.23 | 68,254.63 |
| Subtotal: |  | 117,065.78 |  | 122,919.07 |  | 48,094.74 | 171,013.81 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Franklin | 12,676 | 81,680.47 | 1.050 | 85,764.49 | 0.820\% | 12,501.73 | 98,266.22 |
| Clifton | 239 | 357.76 | 1.050 | 375.65 | 0.023\% | 344.83 | 720.48 |
| Dayton | 444 | 429.41 | 1.050 | 450.88 | 0.042\% | 640.60 | 1,091.48 |
| Franklin | 691 | 2,362.60 | 1.050 | 2,480.73 | 0.065\% | 996.97 | 3,477.70 |
| Oxford | 52 | 29.54 | 1.050 | 31.02 | 0.005\% | 75.02 | 106.04 |
| Preston | 5,110 | 29,885.45 | 1.050 | 31,379.72 | 0.484\% | 7,372.65 | 38,752.37 |
| Weston | 440 | 990.05 | 1.050 | 1,039.55 | 0.042\% | 634.83 | 1,674.38 |
| Subtotal: |  | 115,735.28 |  | 121,522.04 |  | 22,566.63 | 144,088.67 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Fremont | 12,691 | 79,521.20 | 1.050 | 83,497.26 | 0.821\% | 12,516.52 | 96,013.78 |
| Ashton | 1,089 | 7,028.54 | 1.050 | 7,379.97 | 0.103\% | 1,571.20 | 8,951.17 |
| Drummond | 14 | 33.03 | 1.050 | 34.68 | 0.001\% | 20.20 | 54.88 |
| Island Park | 281 | 531.20 | 1.050 | 557.76 | 0.027\% | 405.42 | 963.18 |
| Newdale | 1,452 | 600.73 | 1.050 | 630.77 | 0.137\% | 2,094.93 | 2,725.70 |
| Parker | 317 | 377.18 | 1.050 | 396.04 | 0.030\% | 457.36 | 853.40 |
| St. Anthony | 3,447 | 13,740.08 | 1.050 | 14,427.08 | 0.326\% | 4,973.29 | 19,400.37 |
| Teton | 671 | 321.67 | 1.050 | 337.75 | 0.064\% | 968.11 | 1,305.86 |
| Warm River | 10 | 8.21 | 1.050 | 8.62 | 0.001\% | 14.43 | 23.05 |
| Subtotal: |  | 102,161.84 |  | 107,269.93 |  | 23,021.46 | 130,291.39 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Gem | 16,437 | 108,796.98 | 1.050 | 114,236.83 | 1.063\% | 16,211.02 | 130,447.85 |
| Emmett | 6,287 | 33,304.25 | 1.050 | 34,969.46 | 0.595\% | 9,070.81 | 44,040.27 |
| Subtotal: |  | 142,101.23 |  | 149,206.29 |  | 25,281.83 | 174,488.12 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Gooding | 14,430 | 77,778.66 | 1.050 | 81,667.59 | 0.933\% | 14,231.61 | 95,899.20 |
| Bliss | 250 | 1,186.22 | 1.050 | 1,245.53 | 0.024\% | 360.70 | 1,606.23 |
| Gooding | 3,209 | 28,144.85 | 1.050 | 29,552.09 | 0.304\% | 4,629.91 | 34,182.00 |
| Hagerman | 823 | 3,264.43 | 1.050 | 3,427.65 | 0.078\% | 1,187.41 | 4,615.06 |
| Wendell | 2,430 | 11,039.10 | 1.050 | 11,591.06 | 0.230\% | 3,505.97 | 15,097.03 |
| Subtotal: |  | 121,413.26 |  | 127,483.92 |  | 23,915.60 | 151,399.52 |

[^3]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Idaho | 15,461 | 162,896.70 | 1.050 | 171,041.54 | 1.000\% | 15,248.44 | 186,289.98 |
| Cottonwood | 1,033 | 11,116.38 | 1.050 | 11,672.20 | 0.098\% | 1,490.40 | 13,162.60 |
| Ferdinand | 148 | 954.86 | 1.050 | 1,002.60 | 0.014\% | 213.53 | 1,216.13 |
| Grangeville | 3,121 | 41,251.59 | 1.050 | 43,314.17 | 0.295\% | 4,502.94 | 47,817.11 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1 | 1.94 | 1.050 | 2.04 | 0.000\% | 1.44 | 3.48 |
| Kooskia | 654 | 5,448.77 | 1.050 | 5,721.21 | 0.062\% | 943.58 | 6,664.79 |
| Riggins | 420 | 3,745.47 | 1.050 | 3,932.74 | 0.040\% | 605.97 | 4,538.71 |
| Stites | 226 | 951.13 | 1.050 | 998.69 | 0.021\% | 326.07 | 1,324.76 |
| White Bird | 106 | 780.96 | 1.050 | 820.01 | 0.010\% | 152.94 | 972.95 |
| Subtotal: |  | 227,147.80 |  | 238,505.20 |  | 23,485.31 | 261,990.51 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Jefferson | 24,802 | 85,113.58 | 1.050 | 89,369.26 | 1.604\% | 24,461.02 | 113,830.28 |
| Hamer | 12 | 3.19 | 1.050 | 3.35 | 0.001\% | 17.31 | 20.66 |
| Lewisville | 544 | 912.52 | 1.050 | 958.15 | 0.051\% | 784.88 | 1,743.03 |
| Menan | 746 | 1,590.72 | 1.050 | 1,670.26 | 0.071\% | 1,076.32 | 2,746.58 |
| Mud Lake | 297 | 907.55 | 1.050 | 952.93 | 0.028\% | 428.51 | 1,381.44 |
| Rigby | 3,531 | 20,918.08 | 1.050 | 21,963.98 | 0.334\% | 5,094.48 | 27,058.46 |
| Ririe (part) | 534 | 2,375.87 | 1.050 | 2,494.66 | 0.051\% | 770.45 | 3,265.11 |
| Roberts | 664 | 2,685.40 | 1.050 | 2,819.67 | 0.063\% | 958.01 | 3,777.68 |
| Subtotal: |  | 114,506.91 |  | 120,232.26 |  | 33,590.98 | 153,823.24 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Jerome | 21,262 | 62,585.95 | 1.050 | 65,715.25 | 1.375\% | 20,969.69 | 86,684.94 |
| Eden | 416 | 902.13 | 1.050 | 947.24 | 0.039\% | 600.20 | 1,547.44 |
| Hazelton | 771 | 1,802.93 | 1.050 | 1,893.08 | 0.073\% | 1,112.39 | 3,005.47 |
| Jerome | 9,593 | 38,496.97 | 1.050 | 40,421.82 | 0.908\% | 13,840.66 | 54,262.48 |
| Subtotal: |  | 103,787.98 |  | 108,977.39 |  | 36,522.94 | 145,500.33 |

[^4]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Kootenai | 139,390 | 160,835.74 | 1.050 | 168,877.53 | 9.017\% | 137,473.64 | 306,351.17 |
| Athol | 688 | 665.24 | 1.050 | 698.50 | 0.065\% | 992.64 | 1,691.14 |
| Coeur d'Alene | 43,805 | 93,802.91 | 1.050 | 98,493.06 | 4.146\% | 63,201.32 | 161,694.38 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2,392 | 855.88 | 1.050 | 898.67 | 0.226\% | 3,451.15 | 4,349.82 |
| Fernan Lake Village | 183 | 159.68 | 1.050 | 167.66 | 0.017\% | 264.03 | 431.69 |
| Harrison | 288 | 495.37 | 1.050 | 520.14 | 0.027\% | 415.52 | 935.66 |
| Hauser Lake | 770 | 66.06 | 1.050 | 69.36 | 0.073\% | 1,110.95 | 1,180.31 |
| Hayden | 13,190 | 3,361.25 | 1.050 | 3,529.31 | 1.248\% | 19,030.37 | 22,559.68 |
| Hayden Lake | 564 | 615.05 | 1.050 | 645.80 | 0.053\% | 813.73 | 1,459.53 |
| Huetter | 97 | 3.21 | 1.050 | 3.37 | 0.009\% | 139.95 | 143.32 |
| Post Falls | 26,909 | 27,390.66 | 1.050 | 28,760.19 | 2.547\% | 38,823.97 | 67,584.16 |
| Rathdrum | 6,948 | 3,489.50 | 1.050 | 3,663.98 | 0.658\% | 10,024.49 | 13,688.47 |
| Spirit Lake | 1,755 | 1,451.48 | 1.050 | 1,524.05 | 0.166\% | 2,532.09 | 4,056.14 |
| State Line | 62 | 7.00 | 1.050 | 7.35 | 0.006\% | 89.45 | 96.80 |
| Worley | 244 | 399.95 | 1.050 | 419.95 | 0.023\% | 352.04 | 771.99 |
| Subtotal: |  | 293,598.98 |  | 308,278.92 |  | 278,715.34 | 586,994.26 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 22, 2010 Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Latah | 38,046 | 84,853.49 | 1.050 | 89,096.16 | 2.461\% | 37,522.94 | 126,619.10 |
| Bovill | 300 | 484.18 | 1.050 | 508.39 | 0.028\% | 432.84 | 941.23 |
| Deary | 564 | 1,520.55 | 1.050 | 1,596.58 | 0.053\% | 813.73 | 2,410.31 |
| Genesee | 914 | 3,525.14 | 1.050 | 3,701.40 | 0.086\% | 1,318.71 | 5,020.11 |
| Juliaetta | 604 | 2,042.06 | 1.050 | 2,144.16 | 0.057\% | 871.44 | 3,015.60 |
| Kendrick | 361 | 1,912.15 | 1.050 | 2,007.76 | 0.034\% | 520.85 | 2,528.61 |
| Moscow | 24,338 | 59,863.84 | 1.050 | 62,857.03 | 2.303\% | 35,114.57 | 97,971.60 |
| Onaway | 226 | 29.08 | 1.050 | 30.53 | 0.021\% | 326.07 | 356.60 |
| Potlatch | 769 | 1,849.94 | 1.050 | 1,942.44 | 0.073\% | 1,109.50 | 3,051.94 |
| Troy | 808 | 2,657.84 | 1.050 | 2,790.73 | 0.076\% | 1,165.77 | 3,956.50 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,738.27 |  | 166,675.18 |  | 79,196.42 | 245,871.60 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Lemhi | 7,908 | 94,798.48 | 1.050 | 99,538.40 | 0.512\% | 7,799.28 | 107,337.68 |
| Leadore | 87 | 448.49 | 1.050 | 470.91 | 0.008\% | 125.52 | 596.43 |
| Salmon | 3,141 | 49,335.28 | 1.050 | 51,802.04 | 0.297\% | 4,531.80 | 56,333.84 |
| Subtotal: |  | 144,582.25 |  | 151,811.35 |  | 12,456.60 | 164,267.95 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Lewis | 3,735 | 30,283.70 | 1.050 | 31,797.89 | 0.242\% | 3,683.65 | 35,481.54 |
| Craigmont | 540 | 5,928.18 | 1.050 | 6,224.59 | 0.051\% | 779.11 | 7,003.70 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1,129 | 5,992.52 | 1.050 | 6,292.15 | 0.107\% | 1,628.91 | 7,921.06 |
| Nezperce | 504 | 4,301.35 | 1.050 | 4,516.42 | 0.048\% | 727.16 | 5,243.58 |
| Reubens | 72 | 188.66 | 1.050 | 198.09 | 0.007\% | 103.88 | 301.97 |
| Winchester | 302 | 1,936.86 | 1.050 | 2,033.70 | 0.029\% | 435.72 | 2,469.42 |
| Subtotal: |  | 48,631.27 |  | 51,062.84 |  | 7,358.43 | 58,421.27 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Lincoln | 4,645 | 38,862.47 | 1.050 | 40,805.59 | 0.300\% | 4,581.14 | 45,386.73 |
| Dietrich | 166 | 442.48 | 1.050 | 464.60 | 0.016\% | 239.50 | 704.10 |
| Richfield | 429 | 2,548.39 | 1.050 | 2,675.81 | 0.041\% | 618.96 | 3,294.77 |
| Shoshone | 1,610 | 20,525.12 | 1.050 | 21,551.38 | 0.152\% | 2,322.89 | 23,874.27 |
| Subtotal: |  | 62,378.46 |  | 65,497.38 |  | 7,762.49 | 73,259.87 |

[^5]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010
October 22, 2010

|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | ies July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | ies July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Madison | 38,440 | 68,357.92 | 1.050 | 71,775.82 | 2.487\% | 37,911.52 | 109,687.34 |
| Rexburg | 28,856 | 22,123.51 | 1.050 | 23,229.69 | 2.731\% | 41,633.08 | 64,862.77 |
| Sugar City | 1,677 | 1,163.54 | 1.050 | 1,221.72 | 0.159\% | 2,419.55 | 3,641.27 |
| Subtotal: |  | 91,644.97 |  | 96,227.23 |  | 81,964.15 | 178,191.38 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Minidoka | 19,226 | 159,728.27 | 1.050 | 167,714.68 | 1.244\% | 18,961.68 | 186,676.36 |
| Acequia | 140 | 355.80 | 1.050 | 373.59 | 0.013\% | 201.99 | 575.58 |
| Burley (part) | 250 | 5,418.83 | 1.050 | 5,689.77 | 0.024\% | 360.70 | 6,050.47 |
| Heyburn | 2,771 | 7,954.48 | 1.050 | 8,352.20 | 0.262\% | 3,997.96 | 12,350.16 |
| Minidoka | 122 | 274.10 | 1.050 | 287.81 | 0.012\% | 176.02 | 463.83 |
| Paul | 945 | 3,448.87 | 1.050 | 3,621.31 | 0.089\% | 1,363.43 | 4,984.74 |
| Rupert | 5,225 | 22,478.30 | 1.050 | 23,602.22 | 0.494\% | 7,538.57 | 31,140.79 |
| Subtotal: |  | 199,658.65 |  | 209,641.58 |  | 32,600.35 | 242,241.93 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Nez Perce | 39,211 | 210,437.17 | 1.050 | 220,959.03 | 2.537\% | 38,671.92 | 259,630.95 |
| Culdesac | 379 | 1,449.62 | 1.050 | 1,522.10 | 0.036\% | 546.82 | 2,068.92 |
| Lapwai | 1,129 | 1,086.51 | 1.050 | 1,140.84 | 0.107\% | 1,628.91 | 2,769.75 |
| Lewiston | 31,887 | 354,768.73 | 1.050 | 372,507.17 | 3.018\% | 46,006.17 | 418,513.34 |
| Peck | 188 | 495.57 | 1.050 | 520.35 | 0.018\% | 271.24 | 791.59 |
| Subtotal: |  | 568,237.60 |  | 596,649.49 |  | 87,125.06 | 683,774.55 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Oneida | 4,221 | 48,505.51 | 1.050 | 50,930.79 | 0.273\% | 4,162.97 | 55,093.76 |
| Malad City | 2,130 | 18,390.19 | 1.050 | 19,309.70 | 0.202\% | 3,073.14 | 22,382.84 |
| Subtotal: |  | 66,895.70 |  | 70,240.49 |  | 7,236.11 | 77,476.60 |

[^6]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Owyhee | 11,223 | 119,566.34 | 1.050 | 125,544.66 | 0.726\% | 11,068.70 | 136,613.36 |
| Grand View | 478 | 414.65 | 1.050 | 435.38 | 0.045\% | 689.65 | 1,125.03 |
| Homedale | 2,535 | 20,711.60 | 1.050 | 21,747.18 | 0.240\% | 3,657.47 | 25,404.65 |
| Marsing | 979 | 7,754.25 | 1.050 | 8,141.96 | 0.093\% | 1,412.49 | 9,554.45 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,446.84 |  | 155,869.18 |  | 16,828.31 | 172,697.49 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 22, 2010 Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Payette | 23,099 | 68,243.72 | 1.050 | 71,655.91 | 1.494\% | 22,781.43 | 94,437.34 |
| Fruitland | 4,774 | 12,424.01 | 1.050 | 13,045.21 | 0.452\% | 6,887.87 | 19,933.08 |
| New Plymouth | 490 | 5,567.06 | 1.050 | 5,845.41 | 0.046\% | 706.97 | 6,552.38 |
| Payette | 7,677 | 30,056.93 | 1.050 | 31,559.78 | 0.727\% | 11,076.28 | 42,636.06 |
| Subtotal: |  | 116,291.72 |  | 122,106.31 |  | 41,452.55 | 163,558.86 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Power | 7,734 | 49,259.23 | 1.050 | 51,722.19 | 0.500\% | 7,627.67 | 59,349.86 |
| American Falls | 4,093 | 18,637.82 | 1.050 | 19,569.71 | 0.387\% | 5,905.33 | 25,475.04 |
| Pocatello (part) | 220 | 290.54 | 1.050 | 305.07 | 0.021\% | 317.41 | 622.48 |
| Rockland | 312 | 511.92 | 1.050 | 537.52 | 0.030\% | 450.15 | 987.67 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,699.51 |  | 72,134.49 |  | 14,300.56 | 86,435.05 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Shoshone | 12,660 | 113,754.15 | 1.050 | 119,441.86 | 0.819\% | 12,485.95 | 131,927.81 |
| Kellogg | 2,177 | 42,478.75 | 1.050 | 44,602.69 | 0.206\% | 3,140.95 | 47,743.64 |
| Mullan | 733 | 3,382.19 | 1.050 | 3,551.30 | 0.069\% | 1,057.56 | 4,608.86 |
| Osburn | 1,363 | 9,833.82 | 1.050 | 10,325.51 | 0.129\% | 1,966.52 | 12,292.03 |
| Pinehurst | 1,557 | 4,117.86 | 1.050 | 4,323.75 | 0.147\% | 2,246.42 | 6,570.17 |
| Smelterville | 588 | 1,216.12 | 1.050 | 1,276.93 | 0.056\% | 848.36 | 2,125.29 |
| Wallace | 843 | 23,541.94 | 1.050 | 24,719.04 | 0.080\% | 1,216.27 | 25,935.31 |
| Wardner | 193 | 1,064.76 | 1.050 | 1,118.00 | 0.018\% | 278.46 | 1,396.46 |
| Subtotal: |  | 199,389.59 |  | 209,359.08 |  | 23,240.49 | 232,599.57 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Teton | 9,337 | 27,565.21 | 1.050 | 28,943.47 | 0.604\% | 9,208.63 | 38,152.10 |
| Driggs | 1,439 | 4,611.19 | 1.050 | 4,841.75 | 0.136\% | 2,076.17 | 6,917.92 |
| Tetonia | 244 | 606.12 | 1.050 | 636.43 | 0.023\% | 352.04 | 988.47 |
| Victor | 1,883 | 2,377.76 | 1.050 | 2,496.65 | 0.178\% | 2,716.77 | 5,213.42 |
| Subtotal: |  | 35,160.28 |  | 36,918.30 |  | 14,353.61 | 51,271.91 |

[^7]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Counties July 2009 |  | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cities July 2009 |  | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Twin Falls | 75,296 | 241,767.02 | 1.050 | 253,855.37 | 4.871\% | 74,260.82 | 328,116.19 |
| Buhl | 4,109 | 34,807.53 | 1.050 | 36,547.91 | 0.389\% | 5,928.41 | 42,476.32 |
| Castleford | 274 | 305.35 | 1.050 | 320.62 | 0.026\% | 395.32 | 715.94 |
| Filer | 2,227 | 13,806.95 | 1.050 | 14,497.30 | 0.211\% | 3,213.09 | 17,710.39 |
| Hansen | 1,051 | 2,213.39 | 1.050 | 2,324.06 | 0.099\% | 1,516.37 | 3,840.43 |
| Hollister | 245 | 268.86 | 1.050 | 282.30 | 0.023\% | 353.48 | 635.78 |
| Kimberly | 3,248 | 9,364.27 | 1.050 | 9,832.48 | 0.307\% | 4,686.17 | 14,518.65 |
| Murtaugh | 149 | 1,198.79 | 1.050 | 1,258.73 | 0.014\% | 214.98 | 1,473.71 |
| Twin Falls | 42,741 | 279,925.76 | 1.050 | 293,922.05 | 4.045\% | 61,666.19 | 355,588.24 |
| Subtotal: |  | 583,657.92 |  | 612,840.82 |  | 152,234.83 | 765,075.65 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hypothetical } \\ \text { Base } \end{gathered}$ | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Valley | 8,726 | 39,713.84 | 1.050 | 41,699.53 | 0.564\% | 8,606.03 | 50,305.56 |
| Cascade | 972 | 6,140.79 | 1.050 | 6,447.83 | 0.092\% | 1,402.39 | 7,850.22 |
| Donnelly | 139 | 473.99 | 1.050 | 497.69 | 0.013\% | 200.55 | 698.24 |
| McCall | 2,554 | 20,863.48 | 1.050 | 21,906.65 | 0.242\% | 3,684.88 | 25,591.53 |
| Subtotal: |  | 67,192.10 |  | 70,551.70 |  | 13,893.85 | 84,445.55 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: September 30, 2010

| October 22, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,576,129.42 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2009 | 1,545,801 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,524,549.03 |
| Population all C | es July 2009 | 1,056,669 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 12,625,227.49 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Washington | 10,119 | 94,870.92 | 1.050 | 99,614.47 | 0.655\% | 9,979.88 | 109,594.35 |
| Cambridge | 374 | 1,557.33 | 1.050 | 1,635.20 | 0.035\% | 539.60 | 2,174.80 |
| Midvale | 211 | 378.36 | 1.050 | 397.28 | 0.020\% | 304.43 | 701.71 |
| Weiser | 5,222 | 46,478.74 | 1.050 | 48,802.68 | 0.494\% | 7,534.24 | 56,336.92 |
| Subtotal: |  | 143,285.35 |  | 150,449.63 |  | 18,358.15 | 168,807.78 |

[^8]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.


[^0]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^1]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^2]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^3]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^4]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^5]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^6]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^7]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^8]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

