## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013


Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013

| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population all Co | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Adams | 3,915 | 73,813.67 | 1.050 | 77,504.35 | 0.245\% | 4,254.87 | 81,759.22 |
| Council | 839 | 5,105.61 | 1.050 | 5,360.89 | 0.077\% | 1,334.34 | 6,695.23 |
| New Meadows | 497 | 3,278.59 | 1.050 | 3,442.52 | 0.046\% | 790.43 | 4,232.95 |
| Subtotal: |  | 82,197.87 |  | 86,307.76 |  | 6,379.64 | 92,687.40 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Bannock | 83,800 | 270,650.62 | 1.050 | 284,183.15 | 5.252\% | 91,074.97 | 375,258.12 |
| Arimo | 360 | 539.66 | 1.050 | 566.64 | 0.033\% | 572.54 | 1,139.18 |
| Chubbuck | 14,067 | 29,133.00 | 1.050 | 30,589.65 | 1.290\% | 22,372.06 | 52,961.71 |
| Downey | 632 | 3,562.38 | 1.050 | 3,740.50 | 0.058\% | 1,005.13 | 4,745.63 |
| Inkom | 863 | 2,758.40 | 1.050 | 2,896.32 | 0.079\% | 1,372.51 | 4,268.83 |
| Lava Hot Springs | 411 | 2,471.62 | 1.050 | 2,595.20 | 0.038\% | 653.65 | 3,248.85 |
| McCammon | 817 | 2,211.55 | 1.050 | 2,322.13 | 0.075\% | 1,299.35 | 3,621.48 |
| Pocatello (part) | 54,591 | 369,327.33 | 1.050 | 387,793.70 | 5.006\% | 86,821.16 | 474,614.86 |
| Subtotal: |  | 680,654.56 |  | 714,687.29 |  | 205,171.37 | 919,858.66 |

[^0]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013


[^1]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathbf{9 , 5 3 8 , 8 2 1 . 1 2} \\ \hline, 732,802.39 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Benewah | 9,117 | 52,500.82 | 1.050 | 55,125.86 | 0.571\% | 9,908.48 | 65,034.34 |
| Plummer | 1,035 | 1,834.39 | 1.050 | 1,926.11 | 0.095\% | 1,646.06 | 3,572.17 |
| St. Maries | 2,382 | 17,074.85 | 1.050 | 17,928.59 | 0.218\% | 3,788.32 | 21,716.91 |
| Tensed | 123 | 160.98 | 1.050 | 169.03 | 0.011\% | 195.62 | 364.65 |
| Subtotal: |  | 71,571.04 |  | 75,149.59 |  | 15,538.48 | 90,688.07 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013


[^2]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Blaine | 21,146 | 43,329.86 | 1.050 | 45,496.35 | 1.325\% | 22,981.76 | 68,478.11 |
| Bellevue | 2,268 | 1,141.30 | 1.050 | 1,198.37 | 0.208\% | 3,607.01 | 4,805.38 |
| Carey | 599 | 143.20 | 1.050 | 150.36 | 0.055\% | 952.65 | 1,103.01 |
| Hailey | 7,893 | 9,871.11 | 1.050 | 10,364.67 | 0.724\% | 12,552.97 | 22,917.64 |
| Ketchum | 2,694 | 13,191.48 | 1.050 | 13,851.05 | 0.247\% | 4,284.52 | 18,135.57 |
| Sun Valley | 1,395 | 13,931.19 | 1.050 | 14,627.75 | 0.128\% | 2,218.60 | 16,846.35 |
| Subtotal: |  | 81,608.14 |  | 85,688.55 |  | 46,597.51 | 132,286.06 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | tual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Boise | 6,835 | 37,746.98 | 1.050 | 39,634.33 | 0.428\% | 7,428.37 | 47,062.70 |
| Crouch | 163 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.015\% | 259.23 | 259.23 |
| Horseshoe Bend | 707 | 3,314.36 | 1.050 | 3,480.08 | 0.065\% | 1,124.41 | 4,604.49 |
| Idaho City | 484 | 996.56 | 1.050 | 1,046.39 | 0.044\% | 769.75 | 1,816.14 |
| Placerville | 53 | 160.15 | 1.050 | 168.16 | 0.005\% | 84.29 | 252.45 |
| Subtotal: |  | 42,218.05 |  | 44,328.96 |  | 9,666.05 | 53,995.01 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Bonner | 40,476 | 116,262.02 | 1.050 | 122,075.12 | 2.537\% | 43,989.86 | 166,064.98 |
| Clark Fork | 536 | 779.95 | 1.050 | 818.95 | 0.049\% | 852.45 | 1,671.40 |
| Dover | 555 | 429.58 | 1.050 | 451.06 | 0.051\% | 882.67 | 1,333.73 |
| East Hope | 209 | 371.58 | 1.050 | 390.16 | 0.019\% | 332.39 | 722.55 |
| Hope | 85 | 177.92 | 1.050 | 186.82 | 0.008\% | 135.18 | 322.00 |
| Kootenai | 677 | 241.78 | 1.050 | 253.87 | 0.062\% | 1,076.70 | 1,330.57 |
| Oldtown | 184 | 345.26 | 1.050 | 362.52 | 0.017\% | 292.63 | 655.15 |
| Ponderay | 1,135 | 3,121.80 | 1.050 | 3,277.89 | 0.104\% | 1,805.10 | 5,082.99 |
| Priest River | 1,747 | 5,784.70 | 1.050 | 6,073.94 | 0.160\% | 2,778.42 | 8,852.36 |
| Sandpoint | 7,354 | 31,195.44 | 1.050 | 32,755.21 | 0.674\% | 11,695.75 | 44,450.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,710.03 |  | 166,645.54 |  | 63,841.15 | 230,486.69 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013
Statewide Dollar Distribution Information:
Population Information:

| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | $\frac{1,732,802.39}{1,734,258.63}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population all Co | S July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all | ies July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County | Distribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Bonneville | 106,684 | 268,559.85 | 1.050 | 281,987.84 | 6.686\% | 115,945.60 | 397,933.44 |
| Ammon | 14,019 | 4,689.85 | 1.050 | 4,924.34 | 1.286\% | 22,295.72 | 27,220.06 |
| Idaho Falls | 57,646 | 391,644.80 | 1.050 | 411,227.04 | 5.286\% | 91,679.81 | 502,906.85 |
| Iona | 1,830 | 1,335.07 | 1.050 | 1,401.82 | 0.168\% | 2,910.42 | 4,312.24 |
| Irwin | 222 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.020\% | 353.07 | 353.07 |
| Ririe (part) | 28 | 328.14 | 1.050 | 344.55 | 0.003\% | 44.53 | 389.08 |
| Swan Valley | 209 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.019\% | 332.39 | 332.39 |
| Ucon | 1,125 | 709.89 | 1.050 | 745.38 | 0.103\% | 1,789.19 | 2,534.57 |
| Subtotal: |  | 667,267.60 |  | 700,630.97 |  | 235,350.73 | 935,981.70 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide | Dollar Distribut | on Inform | ation: |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populati | Informatio |  |  |  | otal City Excess | stribution: | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |  | County Excess D | stribution: | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County D | stribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Boundary | 10,808 | 73,778.68 | 1.050 | 77,467.61 | 0.677\% | 11,746.28 | 89,213.89 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2,507 | 11,032.54 | 1.050 | 11,584.17 | 0.230\% | 3,987.12 | 15,571.29 |
| Moyie Springs | 710 | 955.23 | 1.050 | 1,002.99 | 0.065\% | 1,129.18 | 2,132.17 |
| Subtotal: |  | 85,766.45 |  | 90,054.77 |  | 16,862.58 | 106,917.35 |

[^3]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013
April 8, 2013

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathbf{9 , 5 3 8 , 8 2 1 . 1 2} \\ \hline, 732,802.39 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population' | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Butte | 2,740 | 26,487.43 | 1.050 | 27,811.80 | 0.172\% | 2,977.87 | 30,789.67 |
| Arco | 972 | 6,282.77 | 1.050 | 6,596.91 | 0.089\% | 1,545.86 | 8,142.77 |
| Butte city | 71 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.007\% | 112.92 | 112.92 |
| Moore | 185 | 217.13 | 1.050 | 227.99 | 0.017\% | 294.22 | 522.21 |
| Subtotal: |  | 32,987.33 |  | 34,636.70 |  | 4,930.87 | 39,567.57 |



Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide | Dollar Distribu | on Informa | tion: |
|  |  |  |  |  | and County Total | Actual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populati | Informatio |  |  |  | Total City Excess | Distribution: | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |  | tal County Excess | Distribution: | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County | Distribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Canyon | 193,888 | 384,194.61 | 1.050 | 403,404.34 | 12.150\% | 210,720.08 | 614,124.42 |
| Caldwell | 46,905 | 136,761.74 | 1.050 | 143,599.83 | 4.301\% | 74,597.40 | 218,197.23 |
| Greenleaf | 860 | 652.66 | 1.050 | 685.29 | 0.079\% | 1,367.74 | 2,053.03 |
| Melba | 521 | 1,115.75 | 1.050 | 1,171.54 | 0.048\% | 828.59 | 2,000.13 |
| Middleton | 5,607 | 4,116.23 | 1.050 | 4,322.04 | 0.514\% | 8,917.33 | 13,239.37 |
| Nampa | 82,755 | 215,441.50 | 1.050 | 226,213.58 | 7.589\% | 131,612.99 | 357,826.57 |
| Notus | 539 | 843.58 | 1.050 | 885.76 | 0.049\% | 857.22 | 1,742.98 |
| Parma | 2,012 | 6,536.50 | 1.050 | 6,863.33 | 0.185\% | 3,199.87 | 10,063.20 |
| Star | 53 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.005\% | 84.29 | 84.29 |
| Wilder | 1,557 | 3,608.00 | 1.050 | 3,788.40 | 0.143\% | 2,476.24 | 6,264.64 |
| Subtotal: |  | 753,270.57 |  | 790,934.11 |  | 434,661.75 | 1,225,595.86 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Caribou | 6,787 | 116,182.82 | 1.050 | 121,991.96 | 0.425\% | 7,376.20 | 129,368.16 |
| Bancroft | 371 | 4,252.72 | 1.050 | 4,465.36 | 0.034\% | 590.04 | 5,055.40 |
| Grace | 900 | 6,321.31 | 1.050 | 6,637.38 | 0.083\% | 1,431.35 | 8,068.73 |
| Soda Springs | 3,009 | 22,071.79 | 1.050 | 23,175.38 | 0.276\% | 4,785.49 | 27,960.87 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,828.64 |  | 156,270.08 |  | 14,183.08 | 170,453.16 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Cassia | 23,249 | 144,286.35 | 1.050 | 151,500.67 | 1.457\% | 25,267.33 | 176,768.00 |
| Albion | 269 | 496.72 | 1.050 | 521.56 | 0.025\% | 427.82 | 949.38 |
| Burley (part) | 10,165 | 61,301.58 | 1.050 | 64,366.66 | 0.932\% | 16,166.35 | 80,533.01 |
| Declo | 346 | 887.96 | 1.050 | 932.36 | 0.032\% | 550.28 | 1,482.64 |
| Malta | 194 | 401.71 | 1.050 | 421.80 | 0.018\% | 304.35 | 726.15 |
| Oakley | 772 | 1,962.28 | 1.050 | 2,060.39 | 0.071\% | 1,227.78 | 3,288.17 |
| Subtotal: |  | 209,336.60 |  | 219,803.44 |  | 43,943.91 | 263,747.35 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Clark | 869 | 52,083.69 | 1.050 | 54,687.87 | 0.054\% | 944.44 | 55,632.31 |
| Dubois | 654 | 3,775.61 | 1.050 | 3,964.39 | 0.060\% | 1,040.12 | 5,004.51 |
| Spencer | 36 | 135.89 | 1.050 | 142.68 | 0.003\% | 57.25 | 199.93 |
| Subtotal: |  | 55,995.19 |  | 58,794.94 |  | 2,041.81 | 60,836.75 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Clearwater | 8,590 | 151,976.36 | 1.050 | 159,575.18 | 0.538\% | 9,335.73 | 168,910.91 |
| Elk River | 124 | 2,983.26 | 1.050 | 3,132.42 | 0.011\% | 197.21 | 3,329.63 |
| Orofino | 3,124 | 40,282.86 | 1.050 | 42,297.00 | 0.286\% | 4,968.39 | 47,265.39 |
| Pierce | 505 | 5,703.59 | 1.050 | 5,988.77 | 0.046\% | 803.15 | 6,791.92 |
| Weippe | 429 | 4,653.21 | 1.050 | 4,885.87 | 0.039\% | 682.28 | 5,568.15 |
| Subtotal: |  | 205,599.28 |  | 215,879.24 |  | 15,986.76 | 231,866.00 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Custer | 4,331 | 54,104.60 | 1.050 | 56,809.83 | 0.271\% | 4,706.99 | 61,516.82 |
| Challis | 1,072 | 9,018.81 | 1.050 | 9,469.75 | 0.098\% | 1,704.90 | 11,174.65 |
| Clayton | 7 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.001\% | 11.13 | 11.13 |
| Lost River | - | - | 1.050 | - | 0.000\% | - | - |
| Mackay | 514 | 2,969.93 | 1.050 | 3,118.43 | 0.047\% | (634.59) | 2,483.84 |
| Stanley | 62 | 2,055.49 | 1.050 | 2,158.26 | 0.006\% | 98.60 | 2,256.86 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,148.83 |  | 71,556.27 |  | 5,887.03 | 77,443.30 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Elmore | 26,223 | 57,157.23 | 1.050 | 60,015.09 | 1.643\% | 28,499.51 | 88,514.60 |
| Glenns Ferry | 1,284 | 11,758.65 | 1.050 | 12,346.58 | 0.118\% | 2,042.06 | 14,388.64 |
| Mountain Home | 13,841 | 48,149.90 | 1.050 | 50,557.40 | 1.269\% | 22,012.63 | 72,570.03 |
| Subtotal: |  | 117,065.78 |  | 122,919.07 |  | 52,554.20 | 175,473.27 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

## Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

April 8, 2013

Population Information:

| Population Information: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ |
| Franklin | 12,786 | 81,680.47 | 1.050 |
| Clifton | 260 | 357.76 | 1.050 |
| Dayton | 465 | 429.41 | 1.050 |
| Franklin | 643 | 2,362.60 | 1.050 |
| Oxford | 50 | 29.54 | 1.050 |
| Preston | 5,230 | 29,885.45 | 1.050 |
| Weston | 439 | 990.05 | 1.050 |
| Subtotal: |  | 115,735.28 |  |

Statewide Dollar Distribution Information:

| City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
|  | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| bution Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| 764.49 | 0.801\% | 13,896.00 | 99,660.49 |
| 375.65 | 0.024\% | 413.50 | 789.15 |
| 450.88 | 0.043\% | 739.53 | 1,190.41 |
| 480.73 | 0.059\% | 1,022.62 | 3,503.35 |
| 31.02 | 0.005\% | 79.52 | 110.54 |
| , 379.72 | 0.480\% | 8,317.76 | 39,697.48 |
| , 039.55 | 0.040\% | 698.18 | 1,737.73 |
| 522.04 |  | 25,167.11 | 146,689.15 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Fremont | 12,957 | 79,521.20 | 1.050 | 83,497.26 | 0.812\% | 14,081.84 | 97,579.10 |
| Ashton | 1,117 | 7,028.54 | 1.050 | 7,379.97 | 0.102\% | 1,776.47 | 9,156.44 |
| Drummond | 16 | 33.03 | 1.050 | 34.68 | 0.001\% | 25.45 | 60.13 |
| Island Park | 283 | 531.20 | 1.050 | 557.76 | 0.026\% | 450.08 | 1,007.84 |
| Newdale | 319 | 600.73 | 1.050 | 630.77 | 0.029\% | 507.34 | 1,138.11 |
| Parker | 301 | 377.18 | 1.050 | 396.04 | 0.028\% | 478.71 | 874.75 |
| St. Anthony | 3,514 | 13,740.08 | 1.050 | 14,427.08 | 0.322\% | 5,588.64 | 20,015.72 |
| Teton | 730 | 321.67 | 1.050 | 337.75 | 0.067\% | 1,160.99 | 1,498.74 |
| Warm River | 3 | 8.21 | 1.050 | 8.62 | 0.000\% | 4.77 | 13.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 102,161.84 |  | 107,269.93 |  | 24,074.29 | 131,344.22 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

| County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2) Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Gem | 16,673 | 108,796.98 | 1.050 | 114,236.83 | 1.045\% | 18,120.44 | 132,357.27 |
| Emmett | 6,537 | 33,304.25 | 1.050 | 34,969.46 | 0.599\% | 10,396.40 | 45,365.86 |
| Subtotal: |  | 142,101.23 |  | 149,206.29 |  | 28,516.84 | 177,723.13 |

[^4]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Gooding | 15,291 | 77,778.66 | 1.050 | 81,667.59 | 0.958\% | 16,618.46 | 98,286.05 |
| Bliss | 318 | 1,186.22 | 1.050 | 1,245.53 | 0.029\% | 505.75 | 1,751.28 |
| Gooding | 3,569 | 28,144.85 | 1.050 | 29,552.09 | 0.327\% | 5,676.11 | 35,228.20 |
| Hagerman | 873 | 3,264.43 | 1.050 | 3,427.65 | 0.080\% | 1,388.41 | 4,816.06 |
| Wendell | 2,784 | 11,039.10 | 1.050 | 11,591.06 | 0.255\% | 4,427.65 | 16,018.71 |
| Subtotal: |  | 121,413.26 |  | 127,483.92 |  | 28,616.38 | 156,100.30 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Idaho | 16,308 | 162,896.70 | 1.050 | 171,041.54 | 1.022\% | 17,723.75 | 188,765.29 |
| Cottonwood | 910 | 11,116.38 | 1.050 | 11,672.20 | 0.083\% | 1,447.26 | 13,119.46 |
| Ferdinand | 161 | 954.86 | 1.050 | 1,002.60 | 0.015\% | 256.05 | 1,258.65 |
| Grangeville | 3,176 | 41,251.59 | 1.050 | 43,314.17 | 0.291\% | 5,051.09 | 48,365.26 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1 | 1.94 | 1.050 | 2.04 | 0.000\% | 1.59 | 3.63 |
| Kooskia | 614 | 5,448.77 | 1.050 | 5,721.21 | 0.056\% | 976.50 | 6,697.71 |
| Riggins | 424 | 3,745.47 | 1.050 | 3,932.74 | 0.039\% | 674.33 | 4,607.07 |
| Stites | 223 | 951.13 | 1.050 | 998.69 | 0.020\% | 354.66 | 1,353.35 |
| White Bird | 93 | 780.96 | 1.050 | 820.01 | 0.009\% | 147.91 | 967.92 |
| Subtotal: |  | 227,147.80 |  | 238,505.20 |  | 26,633.14 | 265,138.34 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide | Dollar Distribu | on Inform | ation: |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populati | Informatio |  |  |  | otal City Excess D | stribution: | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |  | 1 County Excess D | stribution: | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County D | stribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Jefferson | 26,684 | 85,113.58 | 1.050 | 89,369.26 | 1.672\% | 29,000.53 | 118,369.79 |
| Hamer | 48 | 3.19 | 1.050 | 3.35 | 0.004\% | 76.34 | 79.69 |
| Lewisville | 462 | 912.52 | 1.050 | 958.15 | 0.042\% | 734.76 | 1,692.91 |
| Menan | 745 | 1,590.72 | 1.050 | 1,670.26 | 0.068\% | 1,184.84 | 2,855.10 |
| Mud Lake | 361 | 907.55 | 1.050 | 952.93 | 0.033\% | 574.13 | 1,527.06 |
| Rigby | 3,988 | 20,918.08 | 1.050 | 21,963.98 | 0.366\% | 6,342.49 | 28,306.47 |
| Ririe (part) | 612 | 2,375.87 | 1.050 | 2,494.66 | 0.056\% | 973.32 | 3,467.98 |
| Roberts | 584 | 2,685.40 | 1.050 | 2,819.67 | 0.054\% | 928.79 | 3,748.46 |
| Subtotal: |  | 114,506.91 |  | 120,232.26 |  | 39,815.20 | 160,047.46 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Jerome | 22,499 | 62,585.95 | 1.050 | 65,715.25 | 1.410\% | 24,452.22 | 90,167.47 |
| Eden | 410 | 902.13 | 1.050 | 947.24 | 0.038\% | 652.06 | 1,599.30 |
| Hazelton | 762 | 1,802.93 | 1.050 | 1,893.08 | 0.070\% | 1,211.88 | 3,104.96 |
| Jerome | 11,040 | 38,496.97 | 1.050 | 40,421.82 | 1.012\% | 17,557.94 | 57,979.76 |
| Subtotal: |  | 103,787.98 |  | 108,977.39 |  | 43,874.10 | 152,851.49 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | April 8, 2013 <br> Population Information: |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Kootenai | 142,357 | 160,835.74 | 1.050 | 168,877.53 | 8.921\% | 154,715.50 | 323,593.03 |
| Athol | 706 | 665.24 | 1.050 | 698.50 | 0.065\% | 1,122.82 | 1,821.32 |
| Coeur d'Alene | 44,962 | 93,802.91 | 1.050 | 98,493.06 | 4.123\% | 71,507.26 | 170,000.32 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2,381 | 855.88 | 1.050 | 898.67 | 0.218\% | 3,786.73 | 4,685.40 |
| Fernan Lake Village | 171 | 159.68 | 1.050 | 167.66 | 0.016\% | 271.96 | 439.62 |
| Harrison | 207 | 495.37 | 1.050 | 520.14 | 0.019\% | 329.21 | 849.35 |
| Hauser Lake | 692 | 66.06 | 1.050 | 69.36 | 0.063\% | 1,100.55 | 1,169.91 |
| Hayden | 13,550 | 3,361.25 | 1.050 | 3,529.31 | 1.243\% | 21,549.83 | 25,079.14 |
| Hayden Lake | 590 | 615.05 | 1.050 | 645.80 | 0.054\% | 938.33 | 1,584.13 |
| Huetter | 102 | 3.21 | 1.050 | 3.37 | 0.009\% | 162.22 | 165.59 |
| Post Falls | 28,104 | 27,390.66 | 1.050 | 28,760.19 | 2.577\% | 44,696.41 | 73,456.60 |
| Rathdrum | 6,969 | 3,489.50 | 1.050 | 3,663.98 | 0.639\% | 11,083.45 | 14,747.43 |
| Spirit Lake | 1,982 | 1,451.48 | 1.050 | 1,524.05 | 0.182\% | 3,152.16 | 4,676.21 |
| State Line | 38 | 7.00 | 1.050 | 7.35 | 0.003\% | 60.43 | 67.78 |
| Worley | 262 | 399.95 | 1.050 | 419.95 | 0.024\% | 416.68 | 836.63 |
| Subtotal: |  | 293,598.98 |  | 308,278.92 |  | 314,893.54 | 623,172.46 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Latah | 38,184 | 84,853.49 | 1.050 | 89,096.16 | 2.393\% | 41,498.88 | 130,595.04 |
| Bovill | 263 | 484.18 | 1.050 | 508.39 | 0.024\% | 418.27 | 926.66 |
| Deary | 512 | 1,520.55 | 1.050 | 1,596.58 | 0.047\% | 814.28 | 2,410.86 |
| Genesee | 968 | 3,525.14 | 1.050 | 3,701.40 | 0.089\% | 1,539.50 | 5,240.90 |
| Juliaetta | 587 | 2,042.06 | 1.050 | 2,144.16 | 0.054\% | 933.56 | 3,077.72 |
| Kendrick | 307 | 1,912.15 | 1.050 | 2,007.76 | 0.028\% | 488.25 | 2,496.01 |
| Moscow | 24,080 | 59,863.84 | 1.050 | 62,857.03 | 2.208\% | 38,296.67 | 101,153.70 |
| Onaway | 190 | 29.08 | 1.050 | 30.53 | 0.017\% | 302.17 | 332.70 |
| Potlatch | 815 | 1,849.94 | 1.050 | 1,942.44 | 0.075\% | 1,296.17 | 3,238.61 |
| Troy | 874 | 2,657.84 | 1.050 | 2,790.73 | 0.080\% | 1,390.00 | 4,180.73 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,738.27 |  | 166,675.18 |  | 86,977.75 | 253,652.93 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lemhi | 7,758 | 94,798.48 | 1.050 | 99,538.40 | 0.486\% | 8,431.50 | 107,969.90 |
| Leadore | 105 | 448.49 | 1.050 | 470.91 | 0.010\% | 166.99 | 637.90 |
| Salmon | 3,124 | 49,335.28 | 1.050 | 51,802.04 | 0.286\% | 4,968.39 | 56,770.43 |
| Subtotal: |  | 144,582.25 |  | 151,811.35 |  | 13,566.88 | 165,378.23 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Lewis | 3,889 | 30,283.70 | 1.050 | 31,797.89 | 0.244\% | 4,226.62 | 36,024.51 |
| Craigmont | 502 | 5,928.18 | 1.050 | 6,224.59 | 0.046\% | 798.38 | 7,022.97 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1,294 | 5,992.52 | 1.050 | 6,292.15 | 0.119\% | 2,057.97 | 8,350.12 |
| Nezperce | 465 | 4,301.35 | 1.050 | 4,516.42 | 0.043\% | 739.53 | 5,255.95 |
| Reubens | 71 | 188.66 | 1.050 | 198.09 | 0.007\% | 112.92 | 311.01 |
| Winchester | 340 | 1,936.86 | 1.050 | 2,033.70 | 0.031\% | 540.73 | 2,574.43 |
| Subtotal: |  | 48,631.27 |  | 51,062.84 |  | 8,476.15 | 59,538.99 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lincoln | 5,277 | 38,862.47 | 1.050 | 40,805.59 | 0.331\% | 5,735.11 | 46,540.70 |
| Dietrich | 329 | 442.48 | 1.050 | 464.60 | 0.030\% | 523.24 | 987.84 |
| Richfield | 480 | 2,548.39 | 1.050 | 2,675.81 | 0.044\% | 763.39 | 3,439.20 |
| Shoshone | 1,454 | 20,525.12 | 1.050 | 21,551.38 | 0.133\% | 2,312.43 | 23,863.81 |
| Subtotal: |  | 62,378.46 |  | 65,497.38 |  | 9,334.17 | 74,831.55 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2) <br> Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Madison | 37,456 | 68,357.92 | 1.050 | 71,775.82 | 2.347\% | 40,707.68 | 112,483.50 |
| Rexburg | 25,705 | 22,123.51 | 1.050 | 23,229.69 | 2.357\% | 40,881.06 | 64,110.75 |
| Sugar City | 1,528 | 1,163.54 | 1.050 | 1,221.72 | 0.140\% | 2,430.12 | 3,651.84 |
| Subtotal: |  | 91,644.97 |  | 96,227.23 |  | 84,018.86 | 180,246.09 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total A | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Minidoka | 20,037 | 124,196.52 | 1.050 | 130,406.35 | 1.256\% | 21,776.48 | 152,182.83 |
| Acequia | 125 | 355.80 | 1.050 | 373.59 | 0.011\% | 198.80 | 572.39 |
| Burley (part) | 282 | 5,418.83 | 1.050 | 5,689.77 | 0.026\% | 448.49 | 6,138.26 |
| Heyburn | 3,100 | 7,954.48 | 1.050 | 8,352.20 | 0.284\% | 4,930.22 | 13,282.42 |
| Minidoka | 113 | 274.10 | 1.050 | 287.81 | 0.010\% | 179.71 | 467.52 |
| Paul | 1,174 | 3,448.87 | 1.050 | 3,621.31 | 0.108\% | 1,867.12 | 5,488.43 |
| Rupert | 5,578 | 22,478.30 | 1.050 | 23,602.22 | 0.512\% | 8,871.21 | 32,473.43 |
| Subtotal: |  | 164,126.90 |  | 172,333.25 |  | 38,272.03 | 210,605.28 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide | Dollar Distrib | n Inform | tion: |
|  |  |  |  |  | and County Tot | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populatio | Informatio |  |  |  | Total City Exces | istribution: | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |  | tal County Exces | istribution: | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | s July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County | istribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Nez Perce | 39,531 | 210,437.17 | 1.050 | 220,959.03 | 2.477\% | 42,962.82 | 263,921.85 |
| Culdesac | 384 | 1,449.62 | 1.050 | 1,522.10 | 0.035\% | 610.71 | 2,132.81 |
| Lapwai | 1,144 | 1,086.51 | 1.050 | 1,140.84 | 0.105\% | 1,819.41 | 2,960.25 |
| Lewiston | 32,119 | 354,768.73 | 1.050 | 372,507.17 | 2.945\% | 51,081.84 | 423,589.01 |
| Peck | 198 | 495.57 | 1.050 | 520.35 | 0.018\% | 314.90 | 835.25 |
| Subtotal: |  | 568,237.60 |  | 596,649.49 |  | 96,789.68 | 693,439.17 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.


[^5]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Owyhee | 11,439 | 119,566.34 | 1.050 | 125,544.66 | 0.717\% | 12,432.06 | 137,976.72 |
| Grand View | 449 | 414.65 | 1.050 | 435.38 | 0.041\% | 714.09 | 1,149.47 |
| Homedale | 2,613 | 20,711.60 | 1.050 | 21,747.18 | 0.240\% | 4,155.70 | 25,902.88 |
| Marsing | 1,022 | 7,754.25 | 1.050 | 8,141.96 | 0.094\% | 1,625.38 | 9,767.34 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,446.84 |  | 155,869.18 |  | 18,927.23 | 174,796.41 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Payette | 22,639 | 68,243.72 | 1.050 | 71,655.91 | 1.419\% | 24,604.37 | 96,260.28 |
| Fruitland | 4,683 | 12,424.01 | 1.050 | 13,045.21 | 0.429\% | 7,447.81 | 20,493.02 |
| New Plymouth | 1,539 | 5,567.06 | 1.050 | 5,845.41 | 0.141\% | 2,447.62 | 8,293.03 |
| Payette | 7,478 | 30,056.93 | 1.050 | 31,559.78 | 0.686\% | 11,892.96 | 43,452.74 |
| Subtotal: |  | 116,291.72 |  | 122,106.31 |  | 46,392.76 | 168,499.07 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Power | 7,778 | 49,259.23 | 1.050 | 51,722.19 | 0.487\% | 8,453.23 | 60,175.42 |
| American Falls | 4,428 | 18,637.82 | 1.050 | 19,569.71 | 0.406\% | 7,042.26 | 26,611.97 |
| Pocatello (part) | 219 | 290.54 | 1.050 | 305.07 | 0.020\% | 348.30 | 653.37 |
| Rockland | 292 | 511.92 | 1.050 | 537.52 | 0.027\% | 464.39 | 1,001.91 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,699.51 |  | 72,134.49 |  | 16,308.18 | 88,442.67 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | es July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Shoshone | 12,702 | 113,754.15 | 1.050 | 119,441.86 | 0.796\% | 13,804.70 | 133,246.56 |
| Kellogg | 2,105 | 42,478.75 | 1.050 | 44,602.69 | 0.193\% | 3,347.78 | 47,950.47 |
| Mullan | 687 | 3,382.19 | 1.050 | 3,551.30 | 0.063\% | 1,092.60 | 4,643.90 |
| Osburn | 1,544 | 9,833.82 | 1.050 | 10,325.51 | 0.142\% | 2,455.57 | 12,781.08 |
| Pinehurst | 1,608 | 4,117.86 | 1.050 | 4,323.75 | 0.147\% | 2,557.35 | 6,881.10 |
| Smelterville | 622 | 1,216.12 | 1.050 | 1,276.93 | 0.057\% | 989.22 | 2,266.15 |
| Wallace | 778 | 23,541.94 | 1.050 | 24,719.04 | 0.071\% | 1,237.33 | 25,956.37 |
| Wardner | 186 | 1,064.76 | 1.050 | 1,118.00 | 0.017\% | 295.81 | 1,413.81 |
| Subtotal: |  | 199,389.59 |  | 209,359.08 |  | 25,780.36 | 235,139.44 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewid | Dollar Distribu | on Inform | ation: |
|  |  |  |  | City | nd County Total | ctual Base: | 9,538,821.12 |
| Populati | nformatio |  |  |  | otal City Excess D | stribution: | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  |  | 1 County Excess D | stribution: | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all C | July 2011 | 1,090,459 |  |  | City and County D | stribution: | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be <br> Distributed <br> Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Teton | 10,052 | 27,565.21 | 1.050 | 28,943.47 | 0.630\% | 10,924.65 | 39,868.12 |
| Driggs | 1,660 | 4,611.19 | 1.050 | 4,841.75 | 0.152\% | 2,640.05 | 7,481.80 |
| Tetonia | 269 | 606.12 | 1.050 | 636.43 | 0.025\% | 427.82 | 1,064.25 |
| Victor | 1,927 | 2,377.76 | 1.050 | 2,496.65 | 0.177\% | 3,064.69 | 5,561.34 |
| Subtotal: |  | 35,160.28 |  | 36,918.30 |  | 17,057.21 | 53,975.51 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2) <br> Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

April 8, 2013

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 1,732,802.39 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Twin Falls | 78,595 | 241,767.02 | 1.050 | 253,855.37 | 4.925\% | 85,418.10 | 339,273.47 |
| Buhl | 4,163 | 34,807.53 | 1.050 | 36,547.91 | 0.382\% | 6,620.81 | 43,168.72 |
| Castleford | 229 | 305.35 | 1.050 | 320.62 | 0.021\% | 364.20 | 684.82 |
| Filer | 2,534 | 13,806.95 | 1.050 | 14,497.30 | 0.232\% | 4,030.06 | 18,527.36 |
| Hansen | 1,155 | 2,213.39 | 1.050 | 2,324.06 | 0.106\% | 1,836.90 | 4,160.96 |
| Hollister | 275 | 268.86 | 1.050 | 282.30 | 0.025\% | 437.36 | 719.66 |
| Kimberly | 3,298 | 9,364.27 | 1.050 | 9,832.48 | 0.302\% | 5,245.12 | 15,077.60 |
| Murtaugh | 115 | 1,198.79 | 1.050 | 1,258.73 | 0.011\% | 182.90 | 1,441.63 |
| Twin Falls | 44,564 | 279,925.76 | 1.050 | 293,922.05 | 4.087\% | 70,874.28 | 364,796.33 |
| Subtotal: |  | 583,657.92 |  | 612,840.82 |  | 175,009.73 | 787,850.55 |

[^6]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2011 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Valley | 9,545 | 39,713.84 | 1.050 | 41,699.53 | 0.598\% | 10,373.63 | 52,073.16 |
| Cascade | 918 | 6,140.79 | 1.050 | 6,447.83 | 0.084\% | 1,459.98 | 7,907.81 |
| Donnelly | 148 | 473.99 | 1.050 | 497.69 | 0.014\% | 235.38 | 733.07 |
| McCall | 2,924 | 20,863.48 | 1.050 | 21,906.65 | 0.268\% | 4,650.31 | 26,556.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 67,192.10 |  | 70,551.70 |  | 16,719.30 | 87,271.00 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: March 31, 2013

| April 8, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,732,802.39 |
| Population all Counties July 2011 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 1,734,258.63 |
| Population all Cities July 2011 |  | 1,090,459 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,007,338.37 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Washington | 10,099 | 94,870.92 | 1.050 | 99,614.47 | 0.633\% | 10,975.73 | 110,590.20 |
| Cambridge | 330 | 1,557.33 | 1.050 | 1,635.20 | 0.030\% | 524.83 | 2,160.03 |
| Midvale | 171 | 378.36 | 1.050 | 397.28 | 0.016\% | 271.96 | 669.24 |
| Weiser | 5,537 | 46,478.74 | 1.050 | 48,802.68 | 0.508\% | 8,806.01 | 57,608.69 |
| Subtotal: |  | 143,285.35 |  | 150,449.63 |  | 20,578.53 | 171,028.16 |


[^0]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^1]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^2]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^3]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^4]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^5]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^6]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding

