## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Ada | 409,061 | 536,100.55 | 1.050 | 562,905.57 | 25.635\% | 516,338.15 | 1,079,243.72 |
| Boise City | 212,303 | 657,095.91 | 1.050 | 689,950.64 | 19.268\% | 388,095.18 | 1,078,045.82 |
| Eagle | 21,025 | 6,313.16 | 1.050 | 6,628.82 | 1.908\% | 38,434.23 | 45,063.05 |
| Garden City | 11,251 | 19,746.09 | 1.050 | 20,733.39 | 1.021\% | 20,567.11 | 41,300.50 |
| Kuna | 16,189 | 2,775.37 | 1.050 | 2,914.14 | 1.469\% | 29,593.90 | 32,508.04 |
| Meridian | 80,386 | 36,602.30 | 1.050 | 38,432.42 | 7.296\% | 146,947.62 | 185,380.04 |
| Star | 6,182 | 1,051.34 | 1.050 | 1,103.91 | 0.561\% | 11,300.85 | 12,404.76 |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,259,684.72 |  | 1,322,668.89 |  | 1,151,277.04 | 2,473,945.93 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | otal City Excess D | stribution: |  |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Adams | 3,915 | 73,813.67 | 1.050 | 77,504.35 | 0.245\% | 4,941.72 | 82,446.07 |
| Council | 819 | 5,105.61 | 1.050 | 5,360.89 | 0.074\% | 1,497.15 | 6,858.04 |
| New Meadows | 484 | 3,278.59 | 1.050 | 3,442.52 | 0.044\% | 884.76 | 4,327.28 |
| Subtotal: |  | 82,197.87 |  | 86,307.76 |  | 7,323.63 | 93,631.39 |

[^0]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014


[^1]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014


[^2]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | January 15, 2014 | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Benewah | 9,117 | 52,500.82 | 1.050 | 55,125.86 | 0.571\% | 11,507.95 | 66,633.81 |
| Plummer | 1,028 | 1,834.39 | 1.050 | 1,926.11 | 0.093\% | 1,879.21 | 3,805.32 |
| St. Maries | 2,351 | 17,074.85 | 1.050 | 17,928.59 | 0.213\% | 4,297.69 | 22,226.28 |
| Tensed | 121 | 160.98 | 1.050 | 169.03 | 0.011\% | 221.19 | 390.22 |
| Subtotal: |  | 71,571.04 |  | 75,149.59 |  | 17,906.04 | 93,055.63 |

[^3]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Bingham | 45,474 | 231,180.43 | 1.050 | 242,739.45 | 2.850\% | 57,399.66 | 300,139.11 |
| Aberdeen | 1,969 | 11,358.22 | 1.050 | 11,926.13 | 0.179\% | 3,599.38 | 15,525.51 |
| Atomic City | 29 | 48.07 | 1.050 | 50.47 | 0.003\% | 53.01 | 103.48 |
| Basalt | 392 | 315.46 | 1.050 | 331.23 | 0.036\% | 716.59 | 1,047.82 |
| Blackfoot | 11,852 | 91,713.00 | 1.050 | 96,298.65 | 1.076\% | 21,665.75 | 117,964.40 |
| Firth | 475 | 1,801.84 | 1.050 | 1,891.93 | 0.043\% | 868.31 | 2,760.24 |
| Shelley | 4,413 | 21,421.67 | 1.050 | 22,492.75 | 0.401\% | 8,067.07 | 30,559.82 |
| Subtotal: |  | 357,838.69 |  | 375,730.61 |  | 92,369.77 | 468,100.38 |

[^4]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Blaine | 21,146 | 43,329.86 | 1.050 | 45,496.35 | 1.325\% | 26,691.59 | 72,187.94 |
| Bellevue | 2,281 | 1,141.30 | 1.050 | 1,198.37 | 0.207\% | 4,169.73 | 5,368.10 |
| Carey | 594 | 143.20 | 1.050 | 150.36 | 0.054\% | 1,085.85 | 1,236.21 |
| Hailey | 7,920 | 9,871.11 | 1.050 | 10,364.67 | 0.719\% | 14,477.96 | 24,842.63 |
| Ketchum | 2,680 | 13,191.48 | 1.050 | 13,851.05 | 0.243\% | 4,899.11 | 18,750.16 |
| Sun Valley | 1,394 | 13,931.19 | 1.050 | 14,627.75 | 0.127\% | 2,548.27 | 17,176.02 |
| Subtotal: |  | 81,608.14 |  | 85,688.55 |  | 53,872.51 | 139,561.06 |

[^5]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Coun | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Boise | 6,835 | 37,746.98 | 1.050 | 39,634.33 | 0.428\% | 8,627.49 | 48,261.82 |
| Crouch | 157 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.014\% | 287.00 | 287.00 |
| Horseshoe Bend | 680 | 3,314.36 | 1.050 | 3,480.08 | 0.062\% | 1,243.06 | 4,723.14 |
| Idaho City | 466 | 996.56 | 1.050 | 1,046.39 | 0.042\% | 851.86 | 1,898.25 |
| Placerville | 52 | 160.15 | 1.050 | 168.16 | 0.005\% | 95.06 | 263.22 |
| Subtotal: |  | 42,218.05 |  | 44,328.96 |  | 11,104.47 | 55,433.43 |

[^6]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Bonner | 40,476 | 116,262.02 | 1.050 | 122,075.12 | 2.537\% | 51,090.92 | 173,166.04 |
| Clark Fork | 529 | 779.95 | 1.050 | 818.95 | 0.048\% | 967.03 | 1,785.98 |
| Dover | 549 | 429.58 | 1.050 | 451.06 | 0.050\% | 1,003.59 | 1,454.65 |
| East Hope | 209 | 371.58 | 1.050 | 390.16 | 0.019\% | 382.06 | 772.22 |
| Hope | 85 | 177.92 | 1.050 | 186.82 | 0.008\% | 155.38 | 342.20 |
| Kootenai | 739 | 241.78 | 1.050 | 253.87 | 0.067\% | 1,350.91 | 1,604.78 |
| Oldtown | 179 | 345.26 | 1.050 | 362.52 | 0.016\% | 327.22 | 689.74 |
| Ponderay | 1,117 | 3,121.80 | 1.050 | 3,277.89 | 0.101\% | 2,041.90 | 5,319.79 |
| Priest River | 1,716 | 5,784.70 | 1.050 | 6,073.94 | 0.156\% | 3,136.89 | 9,210.83 |
| Sandpoint | 7,403 | 31,195.44 | 1.050 | 32,755.21 | 0.672\% | 13,532.87 | 46,288.08 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,710.03 |  | 166,645.54 |  | 73,988.77 | 240,634.31 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col $3 \times$ Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Bonneville | 106,684 | 268,559.85 | 1.050 | 281,987.84 | 6.686\% | 134,662.11 | 416,649.95 |
| Ammon | 14,199 | 4,689.85 | 1.050 | 4,924.34 | 1.289\% | 25,956.13 | 30,880.47 |
| Idaho Falls | 57,899 | 391,644.80 | 1.050 | 411,227.04 | 5.255\% | 105,840.82 | 517,067.86 |
| Iona | 1,860 | 1,335.07 | 1.050 | 1,401.82 | 0.169\% | 3,400.13 | 4,801.95 |
| Irwin | 220 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.020\% | 402.17 | 402.17 |
| Ririe (part) | 59 | 328.14 | 1.050 | 344.55 | 0.005\% | 107.85 | 452.40 |
| Swan Valley | 212 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.019\% | 387.54 | 387.54 |
| Ucon | 1,119 | 709.89 | 1.050 | 745.38 | 0.102\% | 2,045.56 | 2,790.94 |
| Subtotal: |  | 667,267.60 |  | 700,630.97 |  | 272,802.31 | 973,433.28 |

[^7]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Boundary | 10,808 | 73,778.68 | 1.050 | 77,467.61 | 0.677\% | 13,642.42 | 91,110.03 |
| Bonners Ferry | 2,610 | 11,032.54 | 1.050 | 11,584.17 | 0.237\% | 4,771.15 | 16,355.32 |
| Moyie Springs | 701 | 955.23 | 1.050 | 1,002.99 | 0.064\% | 1,281.45 | 2,284.44 |
| Subtotal: |  | 85,766.45 |  | 90,054.77 |  | 19,695.02 | 109,749.79 |

[^8]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013


[^9]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Camas | 1,077 | 26,939.30 | 1.050 | 28,286.27 | 0.067\% | 1,359.45 | 29,645.72 |
| Fairfield | 398 | 4,141.38 | 1.050 | 4,348.45 | 0.036\% | 727.55 | 5,076.00 |
| Subtotal: |  | 31,080.68 |  | 32,634.72 |  | 2,087.00 | 34,721.72 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Canyon | 193,888 | 384,194.61 | 1.050 | 403,404.34 | 12.150\% | 244,735.55 | 648,139.89 |
| Caldwell | 47,668 | 136,761.74 | 1.050 | 143,599.83 | 4.326\% | 87,138.30 | 230,738.13 |
| Greenleaf | 861 | 652.66 | 1.050 | 685.29 | 0.078\% | 1,573.93 | 2,259.22 |
| Melba | 519 | 1,115.75 | 1.050 | 1,171.54 | 0.047\% | 948.74 | 2,120.28 |
| Middleton | 5,801 | 4,116.23 | 1.050 | 4,322.04 | 0.526\% | 10,604.37 | 14,926.41 |
| Nampa | 83,930 | 215,441.50 | 1.050 | 226,213.58 | 7.617\% | 153,426.14 | 379,639.72 |
| Notus | 537 | 843.58 | 1.050 | 885.76 | 0.049\% | 981.65 | 1,867.41 |
| Parma | 2,020 | 6,536.50 | 1.050 | 6,863.33 | 0.183\% | 3,692.61 | 10,555.94 |
| Star | 12 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.001\% | 21.94 | 21.94 |
| Wilder | 1,560 | 3,608.00 | 1.050 | 3,788.40 | 0.142\% | 2,851.72 | 6,640.12 |
| Subtotal: |  | 753,270.57 |  | 790,934.11 |  | 505,974.95 | 1,296,909.06 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Caribou | 6,787 | 116,182.82 | 1.050 | 121,991.96 | 0.425\% | 8,566.91 | 130,558.87 |
| Bancroft | 368 | 4,252.72 | 1.050 | 4,465.36 | 0.033\% | 672.71 | 5,138.07 |
| Grace | 897 | 6,321.31 | 1.050 | 6,637.38 | 0.081\% | 1,639.74 | 8,277.12 |
| Soda Springs | 2,973 | 22,071.79 | 1.050 | 23,175.38 | 0.270\% | 5,434.72 | 28,610.10 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,828.64 |  | 156,270.08 |  | 16,314.08 | 172,584.16 |

[^10]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014


[^11]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Clark | 869 | 52,083.69 | 1.050 | 54,687.87 | 0.054\% | 1,096.90 | 55,784.77 |
| Dubois | 599 | 3,775.61 | 1.050 | 3,964.39 | 0.054\% | 1,094.99 | 5,059.38 |
| Spencer | 33 | 135.89 | 1.050 | 142.68 | 0.003\% | 60.32 | 203.00 |
| Subtotal: |  | 55,995.19 |  | 58,794.94 |  | 2,252.21 | 61,047.15 |

[^12]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Clearwater | 8,590 | 151,976.36 | 1.050 | 159,575.18 | 0.538\% | 10,842.75 | 170,417.93 |
| Elk River | 123 | 2,983.26 | 1.050 | 3,132.42 | 0.011\% | 224.85 | 3,357.27 |
| Orofino | 3,078 | 40,282.86 | 1.050 | 42,297.00 | 0.279\% | 5,626.66 | 47,923.66 |
| Pierce | 493 | 5,703.59 | 1.050 | 5,988.77 | 0.045\% | 901.22 | 6,889.99 |
| Weippe | 419 | 4,653.21 | 1.050 | 4,885.87 | 0.038\% | 765.94 | 5,651.81 |
| Subtotal: |  | 205,599.28 |  | 215,879.24 |  | 18,361.42 | 234,240.66 |

[^13]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\frac{9,538,821.12}{2,014,211.18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Custer | 4,331 | 54,104.60 | 1.050 | 56,809.83 | 0.271\% | 5,466.81 | 62,276.64 |
| Challis | 1,083 | 9,018.81 | 1.050 | 9,469.75 | 0.098\% | 1,979.75 | 11,449.50 |
| Clayton | 7 | - | 1.050 | - | 0.001\% | 12.80 | 12.80 |
| Lost River | - | - | 1.050 | - | 0.000\% | - | - |
| Mackay | 506 | 2,969.93 | 1.050 | 3,118.43 | 0.046\% | 924.98 | 4,043.41 |
| Stanley | 67 | 2,055.49 | 1.050 | 2,158.26 | 0.006\% | 122.48 | 2,280.74 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,148.83 |  | 71,556.27 |  | 8,506.82 | 80,063.09 |

[^14]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Elmore | 26,223 | 57,157.23 | 1.050 | 60,015.09 | 1.643\% | 33,100.04 | 93,115.13 |
| Glenns Ferry | 1,264 | 11,758.65 | 1.050 | 12,346.58 | 0.115\% | 2,310.62 | 14,657.20 |
| Mountain Home | 13,791 | 48,149.90 | 1.050 | 50,557.40 | 1.252\% | 25,210.29 | 75,767.69 |
| Subtotal: |  | 117,065.78 |  | 122,919.07 |  | 60,620.95 | 183,540.02 |

[^15]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | ies July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Franklin | 12,786 | 81,680.47 | 1.050 | 85,764.49 | 0.801\% | 14,962.46 | 100,726.95 |
| Clifton | 272 | 357.76 | 1.050 | 375.65 | 0.025\% | 497.22 | 872.87 |
| Dayton | 456 | 429.41 | 1.050 | 450.88 | 0.041\% | 833.58 | 1,284.46 |
| Franklin | 716 | 2,362.60 | 1.050 | 2,480.73 | 0.065\% | 1,308.87 | 3,789.60 |
| Oxford | 47 | 29.54 | 1.050 | 31.02 | 0.004\% | 85.92 | 116.94 |
| Preston | 5,145 | 29,885.45 | 1.050 | 31,379.72 | 0.467\% | 9,405.19 | 40,784.91 |
| Weston | 436 | 990.05 | 1.050 | 1,039.55 | 0.040\% | 797.02 | 1,836.57 |
| Subtotal: |  | 115,735.28 |  | 121,522.04 |  | 27,890.26 | 149,412.30 |

[^16]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014


[^17]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Gem | 16,673 | 108,796.98 | 1.050 | 114,236.83 | 1.045\% | 21,045.53 | 135,282.36 |
| Emmett | 6,516 | 33,304.25 | 1.050 | 34,969.46 | 0.591\% | 11,911.41 | 46,880.87 |
| Subtotal: |  | 142,101.23 |  | 149,206.29 |  | 32,956.94 | 182,163.23 |

[^18]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Gooding | 15,291 | 77,778.66 | 1.050 | 81,667.59 | 0.958\% | 19,301.10 | 100,968.69 |
| Bliss | 311 | 1,186.22 | 1.050 | 1,245.53 | 0.028\% | 568.52 | 1,814.05 |
| Gooding | 3,519 | 28,144.85 | 1.050 | 29,552.09 | 0.319\% | 6,432.82 | 35,984.91 |
| Hagerman | 865 | 3,264.43 | 1.050 | 3,427.65 | 0.079\% | 1,581.24 | 5,008.89 |
| Wendell | 2,751 | 11,039.10 | 1.050 | 11,591.06 | 0.250\% | 5,028.90 | 16,619.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 121,413.26 |  | 127,483.92 |  | 32,912.58 | 160,396.50 |

[^19]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Idaho | 16,308 | 162,896.70 | 1.050 | 171,041.54 | 1.022\% | 20,584.81 | 191,626.35 |
| Cottonwood | 920 | 11,116.38 | 1.050 | 11,672.20 | 0.083\% | 1,681.78 | 13,353.98 |
| Ferdinand | 160 | 954.86 | 1.050 | 1,002.60 | 0.015\% | 292.48 | 1,295.08 |
| Grangeville | 3,151 | 41,251.59 | 1.050 | 43,314.17 | 0.286\% | 5,760.11 | 49,074.28 |
| Kamiah (part) | 4 | 1.94 | 1.050 | 2.04 | 0.000\% | 7.31 | 9.35 |
| Kooskia | 608 | 5,448.77 | 1.050 | 5,721.21 | 0.055\% | 1,111.44 | 6,832.65 |
| Riggins | 419 | 3,745.47 | 1.050 | 3,932.74 | 0.038\% | 765.94 | 4,698.68 |
| Stites | 223 | 951.13 | 1.050 | 998.69 | 0.020\% | 407.65 | 1,406.34 |
| White Bird | 93 | 780.96 | 1.050 | 820.01 | 0.008\% | 170.01 | 990.02 |
| Subtotal: |  | 227,147.80 |  | 238,505.20 |  | 30,781.53 | 269,286.73 |

[^20]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014


[^21]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\frac{9,538,821.12}{2,014,211.18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | S July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Jerome | 22,499 | 62,585.95 | 1.050 | 65,715.25 | 1.410\% | 28,399.41 | 94,114.66 |
| Eden | 402 | 902.13 | 1.050 | 947.24 | 0.036\% | 734.87 | 1,682.11 |
| Hazelton | 749 | 1,802.93 | 1.050 | 1,893.08 | 0.068\% | 1,369.19 | 3,262.27 |
| Jerome | 11,027 | 38,496.97 | 1.050 | 40,421.82 | 1.001\% | 20,157.63 | 60,579.45 |
| Subtotal: |  | 103,787.98 |  | 108,977.39 |  | 50,661.10 | 159,638.49 |

[^22]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Kootenai | 142,357 | 160,835.74 | 1.050 | 168,877.53 | 8.921\% | 179,690.44 | 348,567.97 |
| Athol | 694 | 665.24 | 1.050 | 698.50 | 0.063\% | 1,268.65 | 1,967.15 |
| Coeur d'Alene | 45,579 | 93,802.91 | 1.050 | 98,493.06 | 4.137\% | 83,319.55 | 181,812.61 |
| Dalton Gardens | 2,353 | 855.88 | 1.050 | 898.67 | 0.214\% | 4,301.34 | 5,200.01 |
| Fernan Lake Village | 171 | 159.68 | 1.050 | 167.66 | 0.016\% | 312.59 | 480.25 |
| Harrison | 208 | 495.37 | 1.050 | 520.14 | 0.019\% | 380.23 | 900.37 |
| Hauser Lake | 678 | 66.06 | 1.050 | 69.36 | 0.062\% | 1,239.40 | 1,308.76 |
| Hayden | 13,549 | 3,361.25 | 1.050 | 3,529.31 | 1.230\% | 24,767.91 | 28,297.22 |
| Hayden Lake | 585 | 615.05 | 1.050 | 645.80 | 0.053\% | 1,069.39 | 1,715.19 |
| Huetter | 101 | 3.21 | 1.050 | 3.37 | 0.009\% | 184.63 | 188.00 |
| Post Falls | 28,651 | 27,390.66 | 1.050 | 28,760.19 | 2.600\% | 52,374.74 | 81,134.93 |
| Rathdrum | 7,024 | 3,489.50 | 1.050 | 3,663.98 | 0.637\% | 12,840.05 | 16,504.03 |
| Spirit Lake | 1,998 | 1,451.48 | 1.050 | 1,524.05 | 0.181\% | 3,652.39 | 5,176.44 |
| State Line | 38 | 7.00 | 1.050 | 7.35 | 0.003\% | 69.46 | 76.81 |
| Worley | 260 | 399.95 | 1.050 | 419.95 | 0.024\% | 475.29 | 895.24 |
| Subtotal: |  | 293,598.98 |  | 308,278.92 |  | 365,946.06 | 674,224.98 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population' | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Latah | 38,184 | 84,853.49 | 1.050 | 89,096.16 | 2.393\% | 48,197.84 | 137,294.00 |
| Bovill | 259 | 484.18 | 1.050 | 508.39 | 0.024\% | 473.46 | 981.85 |
| Deary | 512 | 1,520.55 | 1.050 | 1,596.58 | 0.046\% | 935.95 | 2,532.53 |
| Genesee | 965 | 3,525.14 | 1.050 | 3,701.40 | 0.088\% | 1,764.04 | 5,465.44 |
| Juliaetta | 582 | 2,042.06 | 1.050 | 2,144.16 | 0.053\% | 1,063.91 | 3,208.07 |
| Kendrick | 303 | 1,912.15 | 1.050 | 2,007.76 | 0.027\% | 553.89 | 2,561.65 |
| Moscow | 24,499 | 59,863.84 | 1.050 | 62,857.03 | 2.223\% | 44,784.78 | 107,641.81 |
| Onaway | 189 | 29.08 | 1.050 | 30.53 | 0.017\% | 345.50 | 376.03 |
| Potlatch | 812 | 1,849.94 | 1.050 | 1,942.44 | 0.074\% | 1,484.36 | 3,426.80 |
| Troy | 888 | 2,657.84 | 1.050 | 2,790.73 | 0.081\% | 1,623.29 | 4,414.02 |
| Subtotal: |  | 158,738.27 |  | 166,675.18 |  | 101,227.02 | 267,902.20 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lemhi | 7,758 | 94,798.48 | 1.050 | 99,538.40 | 0.486\% | 9,792.55 | 109,330.95 |
| Leadore | 102 | 448.49 | 1.050 | 470.91 | 0.009\% | 186.46 | 657.37 |
| Salmon | 3,044 | 49,335.28 | 1.050 | 51,802.04 | 0.276\% | 5,564.51 | 57,366.55 |
| Subtotal: |  | 144,582.25 |  | 151,811.35 |  | 15,543.52 | 167,354.87 |

[^23]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lewis | 3,889 | 30,283.70 | 1.050 | 31,797.89 | 0.244\% | 4,908.90 | 36,706.79 |
| Craigmont | 511 | 5,928.18 | 1.050 | 6,224.59 | 0.046\% | 934.12 | 7,158.71 |
| Kamiah (part) | 1,312 | 5,992.52 | 1.050 | 6,292.15 | 0.119\% | 2,398.37 | 8,690.52 |
| Nezperce | 472 | 4,301.35 | 1.050 | 4,516.42 | 0.043\% | 862.83 | 5,379.25 |
| Reubens | 73 | 188.66 | 1.050 | 198.09 | 0.007\% | 133.45 | 331.54 |
| Winchester | 347 | 1,936.86 | 1.050 | 2,033.70 | 0.031\% | 634.32 | 2,668.02 |
| Subtotal: |  | 48,631.27 |  | 51,062.84 |  | 9,871.99 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 9 3 4 . 8 3}$ |

[^24]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col $3 \times$ Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Lincoln | 5,277 | 38,862.47 | 1.050 | 40,805.59 | 0.331\% | 6,660.90 | 47,466.49 |
| Dietrich | 338 | 442.48 | 1.050 | 464.60 | 0.031\% | 617.87 | 1,082.47 |
| Richfield | 485 | 2,548.39 | 1.050 | 2,675.81 | 0.044\% | 886.59 | 3,562.40 |
| Shoshone | 1,482 | 20,525.12 | 1.050 | 21,551.38 | 0.135\% | 2,709.13 | 24,260.51 |
| Subtotal: |  | 62,378.46 |  | 65,497.38 |  | 10,874.49 | 76,371.87 |

[^25]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2) <br> Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population' | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Madison | 37,456 | 68,357.92 | 1.050 | 71,775.82 | 2.347\% | 47,278.92 | 119,054.74 |
| Rexburg | 25,732 | 22,123.51 | 1.050 | 23,229.69 | 2.335\% | 47,038.74 | 70,268.43 |
| Sugar City | 1,469 | 1,163.54 | 1.050 | 1,221.72 | 0.133\% | 2,685.37 | 3,907.09 |
| Subtotal: |  | 91,644.97 |  | $\mathbf{9 6 , 2 2 7 . 2 3}$ |  | 97,003.03 | 193,230.26 |

[^26]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | \% Based on Population ${ }^{3}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Minidoka | 20,037 | 124,196.52 | 1.050 | 130,406.35 | 1.256\% | 25,291.75 | 155,698.10 |
| Acequia | 123 | 355.80 | 1.050 | 373.59 | 0.011\% | 224.85 | 598.44 |
| Burley (part) | 357 | 5,418.83 | 1.050 | 5,689.77 | 0.032\% | 652.60 | 6,342.37 |
| Heyburn | 3,124 | 7,954.48 | 1.050 | 8,352.20 | 0.284\% | 5,710.75 | 14,062.95 |
| Minidoka | 111 | 274.10 | 1.050 | 287.81 | 0.010\% | 202.91 | 490.72 |
| Paul | 1,183 | 3,448.87 | 1.050 | 3,621.31 | 0.107\% | 2,162.55 | 5,783.86 |
| Rupert | 5,514 | 22,478.30 | 1.050 | 23,602.22 | 0.500\% | 10,079.73 | 33,681.95 |
| Subtotal: |  | 164,126.90 |  | 172,333.25 |  | 44,325.14 | 216,658.39 |

[^27]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New <br> Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Nez Perce | 39,531 | 210,437.17 | 1.050 | 220,959.03 | 2.477\% | 49,898.09 | 270,857.12 |
| Culdesac | 379 | 1,449.62 | 1.050 | 1,522.10 | 0.034\% | 692.82 | 2,214.92 |
| Lapwai | 1,140 | 1,086.51 | 1.050 | 1,140.84 | 0.103\% | 2,083.95 | 3,224.79 |
| Lewiston | 32,051 | 354,768.73 | 1.050 | 372,507.17 | 2.909\% | 58,590.03 | 431,097.20 |
| Peck | 199 | 495.57 | 1.050 | 520.35 | 0.018\% | 363.78 | 884.13 |
| Subtotal: |  | 568,237.60 |  | 596,649.49 |  | 111,628.67 | 708,278.16 |

[^28]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Hypothetical } \\ \text { Base } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Oneida | 4,215 | 48,505.51 | 1.050 | 50,930.79 | 0.264\% | 5,320.39 | 56,251.18 |
| Malad City | 2,037 | 18,390.19 | 1.050 | 19,309.70 | 0.185\% | 3,723.69 | 23,033.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 66,895.70 |  | 70,240.49 |  | 9,044.08 | 79,284.57 |

[^29]
## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Owyhee | 11,439 | 119,566.34 | 1.050 | 125,544.66 | 0.717\% | 14,438.90 | 139,983.56 |
| Grand View | 447 | 414.65 | 1.050 | 435.38 | 0.041\% | 817.13 | 1,252.51 |
| Homedale | 2,610 | 20,711.60 | 1.050 | 21,747.18 | 0.237\% | 4,771.15 | 26,518.33 |
| Marsing | 1,311 | 7,754.25 | 1.050 | 8,141.96 | 0.119\% | 2,396.54 | 10,538.50 |
| Subtotal: |  | 148,446.84 |  | 155,869.18 |  | 22,423.72 | 178,292.90 |

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col $3 \times$ Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Payette | 22,639 | 68,243.72 | 1.050 | 71,655.91 | 1.419\% | 28,576.13 | 100,232.04 |
| Fruitland | 4,723 | 12,424.01 | 1.050 | 13,045.21 | 0.429\% | 8,633.76 | 21,678.97 |
| New Plymouth | 1,527 | 5,567.06 | 1.050 | 5,845.41 | 0.139\% | 2,791.39 | 8,636.80 |
| Payette | 7,451 | 30,056.93 | 1.050 | 31,559.78 | 0.676\% | 13,620.61 | 45,180.39 |
| Subtotal: |  | 116,291.72 |  | 122,106.31 |  | 53,621.89 | 175,728.20 |

[^30]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | s July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Power | 7,778 | 49,259.23 | 1.050 | 51,722.19 | 0.487\% | 9,817.80 | 61,539.99 |
| American Falls | 4,421 | 18,637.82 | 1.050 | 19,569.71 | 0.401\% | 8,081.70 | 27,651.41 |
| Pocatello (part) | 14 | 290.54 | 1.050 | 305.07 | 0.001\% | 25.59 | 330.66 |
| Rockland | 294 | 511.92 | 1.050 | 537.52 | 0.027\% | 537.44 | 1,074.96 |
| Subtotal: |  | 68,699.51 |  | 72,134.49 |  | 18,462.53 | 90,597.02 |

[^31]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ & \hline 2,014,211.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+$ Col 7 |
| Shoshone | 12,702 | 113,754.15 | 1.050 | 119,441.86 | 0.796\% | 16,033.13 | 135,474.99 |
| Kellogg | 2,110 | 42,478.75 | 1.050 | 44,602.69 | 0.191\% | 3,857.13 | 48,459.82 |
| Mullan | 690 | 3,382.19 | 1.050 | 3,551.30 | 0.063\% | 1,261.34 | 4,812.64 |
| Osburn | 1,544 | 9,833.82 | 1.050 | 10,325.51 | 0.140\% | 2,822.47 | 13,147.98 |
| Pinehurst | 1,616 | 4,117.86 | 1.050 | 4,323.75 | 0.147\% | 2,954.09 | 7,277.84 |
| Smelterville | 623 | 1,216.12 | 1.050 | 1,276.93 | 0.057\% | 1,138.86 | 2,415.79 |
| Wallace | 780 | 23,541.94 | 1.050 | 24,719.04 | 0.071\% | 1,425.86 | 26,144.90 |
| Wardner | 189 | 1,064.76 | 1.050 | 1,118.00 | 0.017\% | 345.50 | 1,463.50 |
| Subtotal: |  | 199,389.59 |  | 209,359.08 |  | 29,838.38 | 239,197.46 |

[^32]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9,538,821.12 \\ \hline 2,014,211.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all | S July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical <br> Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Teton | 10,052 | 27,565.21 | 1.050 | 28,943.47 | 0.630\% | 12,688.16 | 41,631.63 |
| Driggs | 1,627 | 4,611.19 | 1.050 | 4,841.75 | 0.148\% | 2,974.20 | 7,815.95 |
| Tetonia | 265 | 606.12 | 1.050 | 636.43 | 0.024\% | 484.43 | 1,120.86 |
| Victor | 1,902 | 2,377.76 | 1.050 | 2,496.65 | 0.173\% | 3,476.90 | 5,973.55 |
| Subtotal: |  | 35,160.28 |  | 36,918.30 |  | 19,623.69 | 56,541.99 |

[^33]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013

| January 15, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Statewide Dollar Distribution Information: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | 9,538,821.12 |
| Population Information: |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,014,211.18 |
| Population all Counties July 2012 |  | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all Cities July 2012 |  | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution <br> Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col 5 + Col 7 |
| Twin Falls | 78,595 | 241,767.02 | 1.050 | 253,855.37 | 4.925\% | 99,206.71 | 353,062.08 |
| Buhl | 4,170 | 34,807.53 | 1.050 | 36,547.91 | 0.378\% | 7,622.86 | 44,170.77 |
| Castleford | 229 | 305.35 | 1.050 | 320.62 | 0.021\% | 418.62 | 739.24 |
| Filer | 2,562 | 13,806.95 | 1.050 | 14,497.30 | 0.233\% | 4,683.40 | 19,180.70 |
| Hansen | 1,160 | 2,213.39 | 1.050 | 2,324.06 | 0.105\% | 2,120.51 | 4,444.57 |
| Hollister | 278 | 268.86 | 1.050 | 282.30 | 0.025\% | 508.19 | 790.49 |
| Kimberly | 3,333 | 9,364.27 | 1.050 | 9,832.48 | 0.302\% | 6,092.81 | 15,925.29 |
| Murtaugh | 116 | 1,198.79 | 1.050 | 1,258.73 | 0.011\% | 212.05 | 1,470.78 |
| Twin Falls | 45,158 | 279,925.76 | 1.050 | 293,922.05 | 4.098\% | 82,549.95 | 376,472.00 |
| Subtotal: |  | 583,657.92 |  | 612,840.82 |  | 203,415.10 | 816,255.92 |

Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\frac{9,538,821.12}{2,014,211.18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Valley | 9,545 | 39,713.84 | 1.050 | 41,699.53 | 0.598\% | 12,048.20 | 53,747.73 |
| Cascade | 902 | 6,140.79 | 1.050 | 6,447.83 | 0.082\% | 1,648.88 | 8,096.71 |
| Donnelly | 141 | 473.99 | 1.050 | 497.69 | 0.013\% | 257.75 | 755.44 |
| McCall | 2,871 | 20,863.48 | 1.050 | 21,906.65 | 0.261\% | 5,248.26 | 27,154.91 |
| Subtotal: |  | 67,192.10 |  | 70,551.70 |  | 19,203.09 | 89,754.79 |

[^34]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

## County and City Base and Excess Distribution (S-2)

Quarter Ending: December 31, 2013
January 15, 2014

| Population Information: |  |  |  | City and County Total Actual Base: |  |  | $\frac{9,538,821.12}{2,014,211.18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total City Excess Distribution: |  |  |  |
| Population all Cou | es July 2012 | 1,595,728 |  | Total County Excess Distribution: |  |  | 2,013,034.48 |
| Population all C | es July 2012 | 1,101,851 |  | City and County Distribution: |  |  | 13,567,243.48 |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| County or City | Population ${ }^{1}$ | Hypothetical Base | Factor ${ }^{2}$ | Actual <br> Base Distribution Col 3 X Col 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Based } \\ \text { on Population } \end{gathered}$ | New Excess ${ }^{4}$ | Total to be Distributed Col $5+\operatorname{Col} 7$ |
| Washington | 10,099 | 94,870.92 | 1.050 | 99,614.47 | 0.633\% | 12,747.48 | 112,361.95 |
| Cambridge | 322 | 1,557.33 | 1.050 | 1,635.20 | 0.029\% | 588.62 | 2,223.82 |
| Midvale | 167 | 378.36 | 1.050 | 397.28 | 0.015\% | 305.28 | 702.56 |
| Weiser | 5,425 | 46,478.74 | 1.050 | 48,802.68 | 0.492\% | 9,917.04 | 58,719.72 |
| Subtotal: |  | 143,285.35 |  | 150,449.63 |  | 23,558.42 | 174,008.05 |

[^35]1-Most current census info.
2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.


[^0]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^1]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^2]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^3]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^4]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^5]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^6]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^7]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^8]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^9]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^10]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^11]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^12]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^13]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^14]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^15]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^16]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^17]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^18]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^19]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^20]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^21]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^22]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^23]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^24]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^25]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^26]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^27]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^28]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^29]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.
    1-Most current census info.
    2-Lesser of 1.05 or total City/Cnty dist divided by total City/Cnty hypo base.
    3-Col. 2 divided by statwide pop. of Counties or Cities.
    4-Col. 6 X total City or Cnty excess.

[^30]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^31]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^32]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^33]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^34]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

[^35]:    Note:Calculations may not agree due to rounding.

