#### Chart I

## Comparison of 2001 and 2002 Taxable Market Value and Estimated Property Tax Collections by Category of Property

December 6, 2002

Category of Property	2002 Market Value Including 2001 Sub. Roll	% of Market Value in Category	% Change in Market Value* 2001/2002	Estimated 2002 Tax Rate	Estimated 2002 Tax (\$)	% of Tax in Category	% Change in Taxes* 2001/2002
Residential:						T	
Urban owner-occupied	15,919,448,486	22.7%	N/A	1.782%	\$283,742,560	27.8%	N/A
Rural owner-occupied	7,627,757,116	10.9%	N/A	1.242%	\$94,707,954	9.3%	N/A
Subtotal	23,547,205,602	33.5%	N/A	1.607%	\$378,450,514	37.1%	N/A
Urban non owner occupied	7,629,082,017	10.9%	N/A	1.362%	\$103,873,665	10.2%	N/A
Rural non owner occupied	10,502,449,804	15.0%	N/A	1.015%	\$106,583,439	10.4%	N/A
Subtotal	18,131,531,821	25.8%	N/A	1.161%	\$210,457,104	20.6%	N/A
Residential subtotal	41,678,737,423	59.3%	9.3%	1.413%	588,907,618	57.7%	8.8%
Commercial:		27.12.70	7.13.73	21.50 / 5	2009-0190-0		
Urban	13,977,136,441	19.9%	7.8%	1.755%	\$245,241,775	24.0%	8.5%
Rural	5,692,106,310	8.1%	0.3%	1.299%	\$73,962,728	7.2%	1.3%
Subtotal	19,669,242,751	28.0%	5.5%	1.623%	\$319,204,503	31.3%	6.8%
Agricultural:	3,757,457,512	5.3%	0.6%	1.197%	\$44,986,786	4.4%	0.1%
Timber:	1,041,482,508	1.5%	-6.8%	1.190%	\$12,395,135	1.2%	-3.9%
Mining:	279,197,249	0.4%	124.7%	1.150%	\$3,211,469	0.3%	103.5%
Real & Personal:							
Subtotal	66,426,117,443	94.6%	7.5%	1.458%	\$968,705,511	94.9%	7.7%
Operating:	1						
Urban	1,129,705,189	1.6%	17.5%	1.784%	\$20,152,392	2.0%	17.5%
Rural	2,688,785,429	3.8%	3.0%	1.206%	\$32,418,964	3.2%	2.7%
Subtotal	3,818,490,618	5.4%	6.9%	1.377%	\$52,571,356	5.1%	7.9%
Total Urban	38,655,372,134	55.0%	9.0%	1.689%	\$653,010,392	63.9%	8.9%
Total Rural	31,589,235,927	45.0%	5.7%	1.166%	\$368,266,475	36.1%	5.5%
Grand Total	70,244,608,061	100.0%	7.5%	1.454%	\$1,021,276,867	100.0%	7.7%

<sup>\* =</sup> System of allocating owner and non-owner occupied residential shares changed in 2002; results for residential sub-categories cannot be compared with 2001.

#### Chart II

#### Effects of the 2002 Homeowner's Exemption

#### Values and Taxes Assuming NO Homeowner's Exemption

December 6, 2002

December 6, 2002	2002 Market Value	% of	% Change	Estimated 2002	Estimated 2002 Tax		Changes in 2	002 Taxes if NO
Category	Without	Market	in total	Tax Rate w/o	w/o Homeowner's	% of	Ü	cowner's
of	Homeowner's		Market Value*	Homeowner's	Exemption	Tax		mption
Property	Exemption (\$)	Category	2001/2002	Exemption	(\$)	in Cat.	% change:	\$ change:
Residential:	1 (7	<u> </u>		1	(7)			
Urban owner-occupied	23,694,884,464	28.8%	N/A	1.491%	\$353,254,796	34.6%	24.5%	69,512,236
Rural owner-occupied	11,879,513,218	14.4%	N/A	1.039%	\$123,437,728	12.1%	30.3%	28,729,774
Subtotal	35,574,397,682	43.2%	N/A	1.340%	\$476,692,524	46.7%	26.0%	98,242,010
		•						
Urban non owner occupied	7,629,082,017	9.3%	N/A	1.170%	\$89,280,378	8.7%	-14.0%	(14,593,287)
Rural non owner occupied	10,502,449,804	12.8%	N/A	0.883%	\$92,733,949	9.1%	-13.0%	(13,849,489)
Subtotal	18,131,531,821	22.0%	N/A	1.004%	\$182,014,327	17.8%	-13.5%	(28,442,777)
		1						
Residential subtotal	53,705,929,503	65.3%	8.3%	1.227%	658,706,852	64.5%	11.9%	69,799,233
G								
Commercial:	12 077 126 441	17.00/	7.00/	1.4620/	£204.277.422	20.00/	16.70/	(40.065.252)
Urban	13,977,136,441	17.0% 6.9%	7.8%	1.462%	\$204,276,422	20.0%	-16.7%	(40,965,353)
Rural	5,692,106,310		0.3%	1.093%	\$62,226,868	6.1%	-15.9%	(11,735,860)
Subtotal	19,669,242,751	23.9%	5.5%	1.355%	\$266,503,290	26.1%	-16.5%	(52,701,213)
Agricultural:	3,757,457,512	4.6%	0.6%	1.011%	\$37,976,411	3.7%	-15.6%	(7,010,374)
	2,727,127,22			2,022,0	***,5***,***			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Timber:	1,041,482,508	1.3%	-6.8%	1.036%	\$10,791,848	1.1%	-12.9%	(1,603,287)
		•						
Mining:	279,197,249	0.3%	124.7%	1.046%	\$2,919,903	0.3%	-9.1%	(291,566)
Real & Personal								
Subtotal	78,453,309,523	95.4%	7.1%	1.245%	\$976,898,304	95.7%	0.8%	8,192,793
	_							
Operating:		,						
Urban	1,129,705,189	1.4%	17.5%	1.484%	\$16,765,314	1.6%	-16.8%	(3,387,078)
Rural	2,688,785,429	3.3%	3.0%	1.027%	\$27,613,249	2.7%	-14.8%	(4,805,715)
Subtotal	3,818,490,618	4.6%	6.9%	1.162%	\$44,378,563	4.3%	-15.6%	(8,192,793)
	T	l			<u> </u>			
Total Urban	46,430,808,112	56.4%	8.3%	1.429%	\$663,576,910	65.0%	1.6%	10,566,518
Total Duval	25 940 002 020	42.60/	E (0/	0.998%	\$257,600,057	25.00/	2.00/	(10.566.519)
Total Rural	35,840,992,029	43.6%	5.6%	0.998%	\$357,699,957	35.0%	-2.9%	(10,566,518)
Grand Total	82,271,800,141	100.0%	7.1%	1.241%	\$1,021,276,867	100.0%	0.0%	0
Granu Iviai	02,2/1,000,141	100.0 /0	/.1 /0	1,271 /0	φ1,041,470,007	100.0 /0	U.U / 0	U

<sup>\* =</sup> System of allocating owner and non-owner occupied residential shares changed in 2002; results for residential sub-categories cannot be compared with 2001.

# Chart III Comparison of 2001 & 2002 Property Taxes and Effects of 2001 Homeowner's Exemption on Individual Property

December 6, 2002

102					
	2001	2002	%	2002 Tax Without	% Change in 2002 Tax
Type of	Property	Property	Change	Homeowner's	if NO
Property	Taxes (\$)	Taxes (\$)	2001 - 2002	Exempt. (\$)	Home. Exempt
Owner Occupied					
Residential*	973	1,014	4.2%	1,462	44.2%
Commercial	2,361	2,420	2.5%	2,016	-16.7%
Owner Occupied					
Residential*	661	706	6.9%	1,019	44.3%
Commercial	1,742	1,792	2.9%	1,508	-15.9%
Farm	3,046	3,090	1.4%	3,025	-2.1%
	Type of Property  Owner Occupied Residential*  Commercial  Owner Occupied Residential*	Type of Property Taxes (\$)  Owner Occupied Residential*  Owner Occupied Residential*  Commercial  2,361  Commercial  1,742	2001   2002   Property   Property   Taxes (\$)   Taxes (\$)     Owner Occupied   Residential*   973   1,014     Commercial   2,361   2,420     Owner Occupied   Residential*   661   706     Commercial   1,742   1,792	Commercial   2001   2002   %   Property   Property   Taxes (\$)   Taxes (\$)   2001 - 2002     Owner Occupied   Residential*   973   1,014   4.2%     Owner Occupied   Residential*   661   706   6.9%     Commercial   1,742   1,792   2.9%	Commercial   2001   2002   %   Without   Homeowner's   Exempt. (\$)

Farm property is assumed to be valued as follows:

Taxable Value:

(after Home. Ex.)

	2001	2002	2002
Agricultural land	\$200,000	\$201,180	\$201,180
Owner - occupied house	\$77,205	\$82,378	\$41,189
Residential land	\$14,707	\$15,692	\$15,692
Total	\$355,066	\$299,250	\$258,061

Commercial property is valued as follows:

	2001	2002
Commercial real and personal property	\$135,475	\$137,913

#### Residential property is valued as follows:

Taxable Value:

(after Home. Ex.)

	2001	2002	2002
Owner - occupied house	\$77,205	\$82,378	\$41,189
Residential land	\$14,707	\$15,692	\$15,692
Total	\$91,912	\$98,070	\$56,881

#### **Inflation Adjustments**

Owner Occupied Residential values have been inflated by 6.7% in 2002; Commercial values are inflated by 1.8% in 2002.

The remainder of residential and commercial growth is attributed to new construction. Farm land values have been inflated .6% in 2002.

Chart IV

#### Percent of Total 2002 Property Taxes Paid by Each Major Category of Property

December 6, 2002

County	Resid	ential Pi	operty:	Commo	ercial &	Industry:	Farms	Timber	Mining	All Real & Personal	Operating	Property:	Subtotal
573	Urban	Rural	Subtotal	Urban	Rural	Subtotal	Total	Total	Total	Subtotal	Urban	Rural	
ADA	45.9%	10.4%	56.4%	32.1%		40.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	96.8%	2.0%	1.2%	3.2%
ADAMS	13.1%	42.2%	55.4%	8.1%		14.5%	8.3%	8.0%	0.0%	86.1%	0.5%	13.4%	13.9%
BANNOCK	52.8%	8.8%	61.6%	26.8%	4.4%	31.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	94.2%	2.9%	3.0%	5.8%
BEAR LAKE	25.1%	36.9%	62.0%	9.1%		10.3%	10.4%	0.1%	0.0%	82.7%	2.0%	15.3%	17.3%
BENEWAH	16.7%	27.5%	44.2%	12.4%		20.0%	6.1%	23.8%	0.3%	94.5%	1.0%	4.5%	5.5%
BINGHAM	24.5%	24.1%	48.5%	16.1%	12.1%	28.2%	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	92.3%	1.4%	6.3%	7.7%
BLAINE	55.8%	30.5%	86.3%	10.7%	1.4%	12.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	99.1%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%
BOISE	10.1%	70.5%	80.6%	4.8%	3.8%	8.6%	2.1%	4.3%	0.0%	95.7%	0.7%	3.6%	4.3%
BONNER	18.4%	49.4%	67.8%	14.0%	4.1%	18.2%	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%	91.4%	1.5%	7.1%	8.6%
BONNEVILLE	43.2%	13.9%	57.2%	31.8%	6.3%	38.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	97.3%	1.6%	1.1%	2.7%
BOUNDARY	14.4%	26.9%	41.3%	10.7%	8.9%	19.6%	7.9%	8.7%	0.0%	77.5%	2.1%	20.5%	22.5%
BUTTE	15.2%	33.1%	48.3%	11.9%	5.3%	17.2%	27.1%	0.0%	0.0%	92.6%	0.7%	6.8%	7.4%
CAMAS	15.7%	42.8%	58.6%	8.0%	5.3%	13.3%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	94.1%	0.7%	5.2%	5.9%
CANYON	36.6%	22.3%	58.8%	25.1%	9.0%	34.1%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	96.8%	1.6%	1.7%	3.2%
CARIBOU	14.0%	8.9%	22.9%	10.2%	6.8%	17.0%	13.4%	0.0%	37.6%	90.9%	1.4%	7.7%	9.1%
CASSIA	18.2%	18.4%	36.6%	19.8%	15.2%	35.0%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	93.0%	1.3%	5.7%	7.0%
CLARK	6.8%	6.7%	13.5%	11.6%	14.3%	25.9%	40.9%	0.0%	0.1%	80.4%	1.5%	18.1%	19.6%
CLEARWATER	21.4%	16.3%	37.6%	10.3%	3.5%	13.8%	3.0%	41.7%	0.0%	96.2%	1.3%	2.4%	3.8%
CUSTER	16.2%	39.2%	55.4%	14.2%		24.5%	16.3%	0.0%	0.8%	97.0%	0.5%	2.5%	3.0%
ELMORE	40.6%	17.7%	58.3%	14.3%	-	18.9%	6.4%	0.1%	0.0%	83.7%	1.8%	14.5%	16.3%
FRANKLIN	34.8%	22.4%	57.2%	12.3%	3.2%	15.5%	16.9%	0.0%	0.3%	89.9%	2.3%	7.8%	10.1%
FREMONT	17.9%	57.0%	75.0%	6.5%	3.7%	10.2%	10.2%	0.1%	0.0%	95.5%	1.1%	3.4%	4.5%
GEM	26.8%	44.1%	70.8%	10.7%	5.7%	16.5%	8.6%	0.2%	0.0%	96.1%	0.8%	3.1%	3.9%
GOODING	22.1%	22.6%	44.7%	12.4%	13.0%	25.3%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.0%	1.3%	9.6%	11.0%
IDAHO	19.6%	36.8%	56.4%	13.7%	7.6%	21.2%	13.3%	5.4%	0.2%	96.6%	0.7%	2.7%	3.4%
JEFFERSON	21.1%	38.5%	59.7%	8.7%	7.4%	16.1%	17.6%	0.1%	0.0%	93.4%	1.3%	5.3%	6.6%
JEROME	22.1%	21.3%	43.3%	15.7%		28.1%	18.5%	0.0%	0.0%	90.0%	0.9%	9.0%	10.0%
KOOTENAI	35.6%	29.9%	65.5%	21.3%	3.8%	25.1%	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%	93.1%	4.3%	2.6%	6.9%
LATAH	40.7%	16.6%	57.2%	21.9%	3.1%	25.0%	6.8%	6.7%	0.0%	95.6%	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%
LEMHI	24.5%	36.5%	61.0%	16.6%		21.5%	12.9%	0.0%	0.3%	95.7%	0.8%	3.4%	4.3%
LEWIS	25.4%	11.4%	36.8%	13.7%	3.3%	16.9%	37.1%	5.3%	0.0%	96.1%	1.5%	2.4%	3.9%
LINCOLN MADISON	24.3%	13.3%	37.6% 46.1%	8.2% 31.9%	7.7% 9.0%	15.9% 40.9%	23.1% 9.2%	0.0%	0.1%	76.7% 96.2%	1.6% 1.1%	21.8%	23.3%
MINIDOKA	22.2%	20.5%	42.7%	19.1%		33.9%	15.9%	0.0%	0.0%	92.5%	2.8%	4.7%	7.5%
NEZ PERCE	40.8%	5.5%	46.3%		15.3%	46.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	95.9%	3.1%	1.0%	4.1%
ONEIDA	27.1%	19.8%	46.9%	15.7%		17.9%	25.5%	0.476	0.076	91.0%	1.0%	7.9%	9.0%
OWYHEE	17.4%	23.5%	40.9%	7.4%		15.5%	28.4%	0.076	2.5%	87.4%	0.6%	12.0%	12.6%
PAYETTE	37.6%	22.8%	60.4%	18.3%		24.1%	9.9%	0.170	0.0%	94.5%	1.8%	3.7%	5.5%
POWER	10.9%	7.1%	18.0%		29.7%	49.2%	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	82.7%	0.9%	16.4%	17.3%
SHOSHONE	29.0%	16.9%	45.9%	14.2%	-	20.2%	0.4%	22.2%	0.8%	89.4%	3.2%	7.4%	10.6%
TETON	15.1%	65.9%	81.0%	7.6%		10.1%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	97.7%	0.1%	2.1%	2.3%
TWIN FALLS	37.1%	16.7%	53.9%	25.4%		31.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	94.3%	1.7%	4.1%	5.7%
VALLEY	34.1%	49.8%	83.9%	9.7%		11.4%	0.9%	2.1%	0.0%	98.2%	0.4%	1.4%	1.8%
WASHINGTON		17.4%	47.2%	12.9%		17.2%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.4%	1.4%	18.2%	19.6%

## Chart V:

### Comparison of 2001 - 2002 Property Tax by District Type

District	Prope	rty Tax	%	\$
Category	2001	2002	Inc/Dec	Inc/Dec
Ambulance	8,579,911	10,475,904	22.1%	1,895,993
Auditorium	9,714	9,755	0.4%	41
Cemetery	2,397,315	2,544,630	6.1%	147,315
City	210,676,066	225,187,890	6.9%	14,511,824
County	210,726,723	228,717,351	8.5%	17,990,628
Extermination	460,554	496,011	7.7%	35,457
Fire	26,938,702	29,344,165	8.9%	2,405,463
Flood Control	372,147	333,245	-10.5%	(38,902)
Hospital	6,006,407	6,097,111	1.5%	90,704
Junior College	7,328,051	7,786,919	6.3%	458,868
Library	9,770,459	10,180,687	4.2%	410,228
Mosquito Abateme	688,839	750,102	8.9%	61,263
Port	522,000	522,000	0.0%	1
Recreation	2,213,053	2,288,429	3.4%	75,376
Roads & Highways	51,888,156	53,277,810	2.7%	1,389,654
School	408,103,075	441,072,535	8.1%	32,969,460
Sewer & Water	830,764	884,544	6.5%	53,780
Sewer Incl Rec Sev	896,465	1,226,927	36.9%	330,462
Water	59,892	72,434	20.9%	12,542
Watershed	7,000	8,419	20.3%	1,419
Total:	948,475,293	1,021,276,868	7.7%	72,801,575

## **Chart VI:**

12/06/02

2002 School Property Taxes by Fund Comparison of 2001 - 2002 School Property Taxes										
Fund	2001 \$ AMOUNT	2002 \$ AMOUNT	% of Total	\$ CHANGE 2001 - 2002	% Difference					
General M&O	225,606,168	247,677,634	56.15%	22,071,466	9.78%					
Tort	4,524,431	4,591,440	1.04%	67,009	1.48%					
Tuition	344,519	359,760	0.08%	15,241	4.42%					
Bonds	76,105,220	81,247,944	18.42%	5,142,724	6.76%					
Cosa	272,320	425,240	0.10%	152,920	56.15%					
Emergency	7,951,185	8,099,088	1.84%	147,903	1.86%					
63-1305 Judgemen	10,569	10,570	0.00%	1	0.01%					
Override	59,669,624	62,199,136	14.10%	2,529,512	4.24%					
Plant Facility	33,619,039	36,462,723	8.27%	2,843,684	8.46%					
TOTALS:	408,103,075	441,073,535	100.00%	32,970,460	8.08%					

2001 - 2002 Comparison of M&O and Voter Approved Exempt Funds used by Schools										
Fund	Fund 2001 2002									
M&O	114	114								
Bond	76	76								
Plant Facility	Plant Facility 65 66									
Override	47	51								

## **Chart VII:**

	Comparison of Property Tax Budgets 2001 - 2002 by Type of Taxing District.								
12/06/02									
District	2001	2002	2001 - 2002	Change	% Total 2002				
	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	Property Tax				
County	210,726,723	228,717,351	17,990,628	8.54%	2246.58%				
City	210,676,066	225,187,890	14,511,824	6.89%	2211.91%				
School	408,103,075	441,072,535	32,969,460	8.08%	4332.44%				
Cemetery	2,397,315	2,544,630	147,315	6.14%	24.99%				
Fire	26,938,702	29,344,165	2,405,463	8.93%	288.23%				
Highway	51,888,156	53,277,810	1,389,654	2.68%	523.32%				
Hospital	6,006,407	6,097,111	90,704	1.51%	59.89%				
Junior College	7,328,051	7,786,919	458,868	6.26%	76.49%				
Library	9,770,459	10,180,687	410,228	4.20%	100.00%				
Other	14,640,339	17,067,769	2,427,430	16.58%	167.65%				
Totals:	948,475,293	1,021,276,867	72,801,574	7.68%	10031.51%				

	Comparison of Property Tax Budgets 2001 - 2002											
	by Type of Taxing District											
	Exempt - Non Exempt Fund Comparison Only											
11/27/02		Exempt Property	Tax Funds		Non Exer	npt Property 1	「ax Funds*					
District	2001	2002	2001 - 2002	Change	2001	2002	2001 - 2002	? Change				
	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Percent				
County	5,145,935	5,229,594	83,659	1.63%	205,580,788	223,487,757	17,906,969	8.71%				
City	8,339,888	8,232,364	(107,524)	-1.29%	202,336,178	216,955,526	14,619,348	7.23%				
School	177,627,957	188,444,701	10,816,744	6.09%	230,475,118	252,627,834	22,152,716	9.61%				
Cemetery	23,000	13,000	(10,000)	-43.48%	2,374,315	2,531,630	157,315	6.63%				
Fire	1,426,935	1,328,777	(98,158)	-6.88%	25,511,767	28,015,388	2,503,621	9.81%				
Highway	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	51,888,156	53,277,810	1,389,654	2.68%				
Hospital	1,110,776	1,120,243	9,467	0.85%	4,895,631	4,976,868	81,237	1.66%				
Junior College	8,639	0	(8,639)	-100.00%	7,319,412	7,786,919	467,507	6.39%				
Library	1,262,292	1,140,642	(121,650)	-9.64%	8,508,167	9,040,045	531,878	6.25%				
Other	1,470,828	2,900,344	1,429,516	97.19%	13,169,511	14,167,425	997,914	7.58%				
Totals:	196,416,250	208,409,665	11,993,415	6.11%	752,059,043	812,867,202	60,808,159	8.09%				

<sup>\*</sup> School District's M&O budgets are included in these figures.

## **Chart VIII:**

2002 AVERAGE PROPERTY TAX RATES			
Amended: 12/02/20	02		OVERALL
	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE
COUNTY	URBAN %	RURAL %	PROP. TAX %
ADA	1.6718%	1.4016%	1.6306%
ADA ADAMS	1.5250%	1.0421%	
BANNOCK	2.3326%	1.4308%	
BEAR LAKE	1.3939%	0.9779%	
BENEWAH	1.7128%	1.0602%	
BINGHAM	2.2042%	1.4046%	
BLAINE	0.6775%	0.5679%	
BOISE	1.3571%	0.9882%	
BONNER	1.4040%	0.9659%	
BONNEVILLE	- 10-00/	1.3948%	
BOUNDARY	1.5177%	1.1986%	
BUTTE	1.9709%	1.3944%	
CAMAS	1.6886%	1.0509%	
CANYON	2.2044%	1.4872%	
CARIBOU	1.9351%	1.1518%	
CASSIA	1.5613%	1.1657%	
CLARK	1.2957%	1.0521%	
CLEARWATE	1.8272%	1.0674%	
CUSTER	0.9604%	0.7088%	
ELMORE	1.9339%	1.0994%	1.4599%
FRANKLIN	1.5245%	1.1937%	
FREMONT	1.3781%	0.9851%	
GEM	1.6292%	1.1643%	1.2929%
GOODING	1.7929%	1.1503%	1.2936%
IDAHO	1.3219%	0.8381%	0.9427%
JEFFERSON	1.8443%	1.1711%	1.2892%
JEROME	1.9980%	1.3001%	1.5031%
KOOTENAI	1.6543%	1.2257%	1.4605%
LATAH	2.3102%	1.7698%	2.0871%
LEMHI	1.3538%	0.7460%	0.9050%
LEWIS	1.9131%	1.2935%	1.4973%
LINCOLN	1.8111%	1.1893%	1.3231%
MADISON	1.5312%	1.3022%	1.4237%
MINIDOKA	1.4680%	1.0449%	1.2085%
NEZ PERCE	2.1905%	1.2389%	1.7913%
ONEIDA	1.7419%	1.1665%	1.3414%
OWYHEE	1.5606%	1.1302%	1.2013%
PAYETTE	2.2247%	1.3423%	1.7522%
POWER	2.3897%	1.5355%	1.6446%
SHOSHONE	2.2850%	1.5654%	1.8328%
TETON	0.8387%	0.6748%	0.7048%
TWIN FALLS	1.8325%	1.1831%	1.5468%
VALLEY	1.2984%	0.7768%	0.9470%
WASHINGTO	1.6073%	0.9974%	1.1963%
TOTALS	1.6656%	1.1748%	1.4574%