

**BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

In the Matter of the Protest of	)	
	)	DOCKET NO. 25580
[Redacted],	)	
	)	
Petitioner.	)	DECISION
_____	)	

[Redacted] (Petitioner) protested the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated January 2, 2013, for taxable year 2009, asserting additional income tax, penalty, and interest in the total amount of \$709. Petitioner disagreed with the [Redacted] audit changing her filing status from head of household and disallowing the dependent exemption deductions for her two children. The Tax Commission, having reviewed the file, hereby issues its decision.

**BACKGROUND**

The Income Tax Audit Bureau (Bureau) received information from the [Redacted] that a change was made to Petitioner’s 2009 [Redacted] income tax return. The Bureau reviewed the changes the [Redacted] made and determined Petitioner’s Idaho income tax return should be adjusted as well. The Bureau adjusted Petitioner’s Idaho income tax return and sent her a Notice of Deficiency Determination. Petitioner protested the Bureau’s determination, stating she disagreed with the filing status change and that she had a court document allowing her to claim one of her children and giving her custody of the other. Petitioner stated she could provide the court documents if needed and that she made the same protest with the [Redacted]. The Bureau acknowledged Petitioner’s protest and referred the matter for administrative review.

The Tax Commission reviewed the matter and sent Petitioner a letter stating the methods available for redetermining a protested Notice of Deficiency Determination. The letter also stated that since the Bureau’s adjustments to Petitioner’s Idaho income tax return were based on

an [Redacted] audit, Petitioner would need to show that the [Redacted] reconsidered its position. Petitioner did not respond. Nevertheless, the Tax Commission, knowing these matters take time to resolve, put the matter on hold.

Fourteen months later, the Tax Commission requested additional information from the [Redacted] and found no changes were made to the [Redacted] audit report the Bureau received. In fact, Petitioner's [Redacted] transcript showed only collection action taken by the [Redacted]. Seeing that the [Redacted] was not reconsidering the audit of Petitioner's 2009 [Redacted] income tax return, the Tax Commission decided the matter based upon the information available.

### **LAW AND ANALYSIS**

Idaho Code section 63-3002 states it is the intent of the Idaho legislature to make the provisions of the Idaho income tax act identical to the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code relating to the measurement of taxable income, subject only to modifications contained in the Idaho law. It follows, then, that the intent of the Idaho legislature is to follow a [Redacted] determination of taxable income. Further affirmation of the legislature's intent is found in Idaho Code section 63-3069, which requires taxpayers to immediately send written notice to the Tax Commission upon a final determination of a deficiency in [Redacted] tax or be subject to penalty.

The Bureau received information from the [Redacted] that a change had been made to Petitioner's 2009 [Redacted] income tax return. The Bureau reviewed the information and determined the changes made to Petitioner's [Redacted] return were applicable to Petitioner's 2009 Idaho individual income tax return. Petitioner provided no additional information for the Tax Commission to consider.

## CONCLUSION

In Idaho, a State Tax Commission deficiency determination is presumed to be correct and the burden is on the taxpayer to show that the deficiency is erroneous. Parsons v. Idaho State Tax Commission, 110 Idaho 572, 574-575 n.2, 716 P.2d 1344, 1346-1347 n.2 (Ct. App. 1986). Petitioner did not meet her burden.

From the information available as of September 8, 2014, the [Redacted] has not changed its audit adjustments to Petitioner's 2009 [Redacted] income tax return. The adjustments the Bureau made to Petitioner's 2009 Idaho income tax return mirrored the changes made to Petitioner's 2009 [Redacted] taxable income. The Tax Commission reviewed the adjustments and found them appropriate and in accordance with the Idaho Code. Therefore, the Tax Commission hereby upholds the Bureau's determination.

The Bureau added the penalty of Idaho Code section 63-3069 to Petitioner's tax deficiency. The Tax Commission reviewed the addition of the penalty and found it appropriate.

The Bureau also added interest to Petitioner's tax. The Tax Commission reviewed that addition and found it appropriate and in accordance with Idaho Code section 63-3045.

THEREFORE, the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated January 2, 2013, and directed to [Redacted] is AFFIRMED.

IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner pay the following tax, penalty, and interest:

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TAX</u>	<u>PENALTY</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2009	\$605	\$30	\$115	\$750

DEMAND for immediate payment of the foregoing amount is hereby made and given.

An explanation of the Petitioner's right to appeal this decision is enclosed.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2014.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

---

COMMISSIONER

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2014, a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION was served by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[Redacted]

Receipt No.

---