

BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the Protest of)	
)	DOCKET NO. 25300
[Redacted],)	
)	
Petitioner.)	DECISION
_____)	

On September 27, 2012, the Idaho State Tax Commission (Commission) issued a Notice of Deficiency Determination (NODD) to [Redacted] (taxpayer) proposing additional tax and interest for taxable year 2011 in the total amount of \$668.

The sole issue for this decision is whether the taxpayer is entitled to a dependency exemption deduction for his children [Redacted]. As part of his appeal, the taxpayer provided a copy of an Order Modifying Child Support issued by the Third Judicial District Court of Idaho on May 27, 2011. The document states that a dependency exemption for [Redacted] will be assigned to the taxpayer pursuant to the Idaho Child Support Guidelines.

The taxpayer did not respond to a letter outlining his alternatives for redetermining a protested NODD nor did he request a hearing. The Commission, having reviewed the file, hereby issues its decision.

Taxpayers may claim dependency exemption deductions for their dependents as defined in Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 152. Under IRC § 152(a), the term “dependent” means a qualifying child or qualifying relative. A qualifying child is defined as an individual who (1) bears a certain relationship to the taxpayer, such as the taxpayer’s child, (2) has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of the taxable year, (3) meets certain age requirements, and (4) has not provided over one-half of the individual’s own support for the taxable year. IRC § 152(c)(1)-(3).

However, the dependency exemption, as a general rule, is limited under IRC § 152(e)(1) as follows: if the child received over one-half of his support during the calendar year from his parents who live apart at all times during the last 6 months of the calendar year, and the child is in the custody of one or both parents for more than one-half of the calendar year, then the child is treated as the qualifying child of the noncustodial parent if certain requirements are met. The requirements are met if (1) the custodial parent signs a written declaration (in such manner and form as the Secretary may prescribe) that the custodial parent will not claim the child as a dependent for the taxable year; and (2) the noncustodial parent attaches the written declaration to the noncustodial parent's return for the taxable year. IRC § 152(e)(2).

The term "custodial parent" is defined in IRC § 152(e)(4)(A) as the parent having custody for the greater portion of the calendar year. The 2011 Order does not name the custodial parent but it does state that all other provisions of the original order remain in full force and effect. A copy of the original order was also provided by the taxpayer and it states that the children's mother would be awarded primary physical custody of the children. In addition, in response to a request for information, when asked how many days [Redacted] lived with him during the year, the taxpayer responded, 146. Therefore, the taxpayer would not be considered [Redacted] custodial parent.

An exception to the above rule is provided in IRC section 152(e)(2) in that if the parent having custody elects to release his claim to exemption for the children in his custody for the year in question and supplies a written release to that effect, and if the noncustodial parent receiving the right to claim the dependency exemption attaches such waiver to the tax return, then the waiver will be honored and the noncustodial parent may claim the dependency exemption for the child.

The Order provides that the taxpayer is entitled to the dependency exemptions for [Redacted] However, the mere fact that the State court granted the taxpayer the right to claim the dependency exemption deduction for his minor children is immaterial because a state court cannot determine issues of Federal tax law. Id. See Commissioner v. Tower, 327 U.S. 280 (1946); Kenfield v. United States, 783 F.2d 966 (10th Cir.1986); Nieto v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo.1992-296.

For taxable year 2011, the taxpayer is not the custodial parent of [Redacted] and he does not have a signed release from the custodial parent. Therefore, the taxpayer is not entitled to the dependency exemption deductions for the children. And, since the taxpayer is not entitled to the dependency exemptions, he cannot claim an additional grocery credits for [Redacted] per Idaho Code § 63-3024A.

THEREFORE, the NODD directed to [Redacted] dated September 27, 2012, is AFFIRMED and made final.

IT IS ORDERED that the taxpayer pay the following amount of tax and interest:

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TAX</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2011	\$651	\$25	\$676

Interest is calculated through May 10, 2013.

DEMAND for immediate payment of the foregoing amount is hereby made and given.

An explanation of the taxpayer's right to appeal this decision is enclosed.

DATED this _____ day of _____ 2013.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this _____ day of _____ 2013, a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION was served by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[Redacted]

Receipt No.
