

**BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

In the Matter of the Protest of	)	
	)	DOCKET NO. 24536
[Redacted]	)	
Petitioner.	)	
	)	DECISION
_____	)	

On July 6, 2011, the staff of the Revenue Operations Division of the Idaho State Tax Commission (Commission) issued a Notice of Deficiency Determination (NODD) to the [Redacted] (taxpayer) proposing additional tax and interest for taxable year 2010 in the total amount of \$1,275.33.

The taxpayer filed a timely appeal and petition for redetermination. The taxpayer did not request a hearing. The Commission, having reviewed the file, hereby issues its decision.

The sole issue for this decision is whether the taxpayer is entitled to dependency exemptions for his five children from a prior marriage; [Redacted], [Redacted], [Redacted], [Redacted] and [Redacted].

Deductions are a matter of legislative grace, and taxpayers bear the burden of proving that they are entitled to the deductions claimed. INDOPCO, Inc. v. Commissioner, 503 U.S. 79, 84, 112 S. Ct. 1039, 117 L.Ed.2d 226 (1992); New Colonial Ice Co. v. Helvering, 292 U.S. 435, 440, 54 S. Ct. 788, 78 L.Ed. 1348 (1934). Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 151(c) allows a taxpayer a deduction of the exemption amount for each dependent as defined in IRC section 152. A child of a taxpayer is generally a dependent of the taxpayer. IRC section 152(e) provides a special rule for divorced parents. It states in pertinent part:

- (1) In general.  
Notwithstanding subsection (c)(1)(B), (c)(4), or (d)(1)(C), if—
  - (A) a child receives over one-half of the child's support during the calendar year from the child's parents—

- (i) who are divorced or legally separated under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance,
- (ii) who are separated under a written separation agreement, or
- (iii) who live apart at all times during the last 6 months of the calendar year, and—

(B) such child is in the custody of 1 or both of the child's parents for more than one-half of the calendar year, such child shall be treated as being the qualifying child or qualifying relative of the noncustodial parent for a calendar year if the requirements described in paragraph (2) or (3) are met.

(2) Exception where custodial parent releases claim to exemption for the year. For purposes of paragraph (1), the requirements described in this paragraph are met with respect to any calendar year if—

(A) the custodial parent signs a written declaration (in such manner and form as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe) that such custodial parent will not claim such child as a dependent for any taxable year beginning in such calendar year, and

(B) the noncustodial parent attaches such written declaration to the noncustodial parent's return for the taxable year beginning during such calendar year.

The taxpayer provided a copy of his divorce decree (decree) dated February 23, 2010.

The decree states that primary residential custody of the taxpayer's children will be shared with his ex-wife. Child support was ordered to be paid by the taxpayer, and the taxpayer was granted the dependency exemption for all five children.

The decree the taxpayer provided states that he is entitled to the dependent exemption for his five minor children. However, the IRC is controlling in the determination of income tax deductions of this type (*see* White v. CIR, T.C. Memo 1996-438 (1996); Commissioner v. Tower, 327 U.S. 280 (1946); Kenfield v. United States, 783 F.2d 966 (10th Cir.1986); Nieto v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo. (1992-296)), and IRC section 152(e)(2) clearly requires that the custodial parent sign a written declaration releasing the dependency exemption in order for the noncustodial parent to claim the child's dependency exemption. The taxpayer provided no such declaration, nor did he show that he was the custodial parent.

The control over a child's dependency exemption conferred on the custodial parent by section 152(e)(2) was intended by Congress to simplify the process of determining who is entitled to claim dependency exemptions for children of a marriage. See H. Rept. 98-432 (Part 2), at 1498 (1984). To make section 152(e)(2) work as intended, that control must be preserved by insisting on adherence to the requirements of section 152(e)(2). Simply attaching a State court order that is not signed by the custodial parent to the return of the noncustodial parent does not satisfy the express statutory requirements of section 152(e)(2)(A). Miller v. CIR, 114 T.C. 184, (2000).

Since the signed release is a requirement for IRC section 152(e) to apply, the determination of whether the taxpayer can claim the dependent exemption reverts to IRC section 152(c) and (d).

IRC section 152(c) defines a "qualifying child" as an individual who (1) bears a certain relationship to the taxpayer, such as the taxpayer's child, (2) has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of the taxable year, (3) meets certain age requirements, and (4) has not provided over one-half of the individual's own support for the taxable year. IRC section 152(c)(1) through (3).

IRC section 152(d) defines a "qualifying relative" as an individual (1) who bears a certain relationship to the taxpayer, such as the taxpayer's child, (2) whose gross income for the taxable year is less than the exemption amount, (3) with respect to whom the taxpayer provides over one-half of the individual's support for the taxable year, and (4) who is not a qualifying child of the taxpayer or of any other taxpayer for the taxable year. IRC section 152(d)(1) and (2).

To claim a dependent exemption, the taxpayer must show the dependent is either a qualifying child or a qualifying relative. Regarding a qualifying child, the taxpayer has not shown that any of his children's principal places of abode was with him for more than one-half the taxable year. Consequently, the taxpayer has no qualifying child for taxable year 2010. As for meeting the requirements for a qualifying relative of the taxpayer, the taxpayer failed to show

that he provided over one-half the support of his children for taxable year 2010 or that his children were not qualifying children of any other taxpayer for taxable year 2010.

Because the taxpayer's children do not meet the requirements for either a qualifying child or a qualifying relative as defined in IRC section 152, the Commission finds that the taxpayer is not entitled to dependency exemption deductions for [Redacted], [Redacted], [Redacted], [Redacted] and [Redacted] for taxable year 2010. And, since the taxpayer is not entitled to the dependency exemptions, the taxpayer cannot claim the additional grocery credit for the children per Idaho Code section 63-3024A.

THEREFORE, the NODD dated July 6, 2011, and directed to the [Redacted], is AFFIRMED and MADE FINAL.

IT IS ORDERED and THIS DOES ORDER that the taxpayer pay the following tax and interest for taxable year 2010:

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TAX</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2010	\$1,270	\$59	\$1,329

Interest is calculated through June 8, 2012.

DEMAND for immediate payment of the foregoing amount is hereby made and given.

An explanation of the taxpayer's right to appeal this decision is enclosed.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2012.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

---

COMMISSIONER

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2012, a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION was served by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[Redacted]

Receipt No.

---