

BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the Protest of)
[Redacted],) DOCKET NO. 23544
)
)
Petitioners.) DECISION
)
_____)

[Redacted] (taxpayers) protested the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated September 27, 2010, asserting additional income tax and interest in the total amount of \$78.33 for taxable year 2009. The taxpayers disagreed with the adjustment disallowing the dependent exemption deduction [Redacted]. The Tax Commission, having reviewed the file, hereby issues its decision.

BACKGROUND

The taxpayers filed their 2009 Idaho individual income tax return claiming an additional dependent exemption. During the processing of income tax returns, the taxpayers' return was identified as one of two returns that claimed the same dependent exemption. The Taxpayer Accounting Section (Taxpayer Accounting) requested additional information from the taxpayers in the form of a questionnaire. The taxpayers completed the questionnaire and returned it along with child support orders to Taxpayer Accounting. Taxpayer Accounting reviewed the information, determined the taxpayers were not entitled to the dependent exemption, and sent the taxpayers a Tax Correction Notice.

The taxpayers protested Taxpayer Accounting's decision stating that [Redacted] is paying child support that includes an allotment for his ex-wife's share of the dependent exemption. The taxpayers stated [Redacted] has joint custody with his ex-wife and that she does not have

[Redacted] for any more time than [Redacted] does. Taxpayer Accounting reviewed the information and referred the matter for administrative review.

The Tax Commission reviewed the matter and sent the taxpayers a letter that discussed the methods available for redetermining a protested Notice of Deficiency Determination. The taxpayers did not respond, so the Tax Commission reviewed the matter and made its decision based upon the information available.

FACTS

[Redacted] is the biological father [Redacted]. In the court orders the taxpayers provided, [Redacted] was ordered to pay child support [Redacted]. On the questionnaire the taxpayers filled out and returned to Taxpayer Accounting, the taxpayers did not answer the question asking for the number of days [Redacted] lived with them. However, other information provided to the Tax Commission states that [Redacted] is the noncustodial parent. The taxpayers did not provide a signed release of the dependent exemption from the custodial parent. The taxpayers are relying on the fact that since [Redacted] is paying child support, he should be entitled to the dependent exemption.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

Deductions are a matter of legislative grace, and taxpayers bear the burden of proving that they are entitled to the deductions claimed. INDOPCO, Inc. v. Commissioner, 503 U.S. 79, 84, 112 S. Ct. 1039, 117 L.Ed.2d 226 (1992); New Colonial Ice Co. v. Helvering, 292 U.S. 435, 440, 54 S. Ct. 788, 78 L. Ed. 1348 (1934). Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 151(c) allows a taxpayer a deduction of the exemption amount for each dependent as defined in IRC section 152.

IRC section 152(a) defines a dependent as either a “qualifying child” or a “qualifying relative.” A qualifying child is an individual who 1) bears a certain relationship to the taxpayer,

such as the taxpayer's child, 2) has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of the taxable year, 3) meets certain age requirements, 4) has not provided over one-half of the individual's own support for the taxable year, and 5) has not filed a joint return with the individual's spouse for the taxable year. IRC section 152(c)(1) through (3).

A qualifying relative is an individual 1) who bears a certain relationship to the taxpayer, such as the taxpayer's child, 2) whose gross income for the taxable year is less than the exemption amount, 3) with respect to whom the taxpayer provides over one-half of the individual's support for the taxable year, and 4) who is not a qualifying child of the taxpayer or of any other taxpayer for the taxable year. IRC section 152(d)(1) and (2).

IRC section 152(e) provides a special rule for parents who are divorced or who do not live together. It states in pertinent part:

(1) In general.

Notwithstanding subsection (c)(1)(B), (c)(4), or (d)(1)(C), if—

(A) a child receives over one-half of the child's support during the calendar year from the child's parents—

(i) who are divorced or legally separated under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance,

(ii) who are separated under a written separation agreement, or

(iii) who live apart at all times during the last 6 months of the calendar year, and—

(B) such child is in the custody of 1 or both of the child's parents for more than one-half of the calendar year, such child shall be treated as being the qualifying child or qualifying relative of the noncustodial parent for a calendar year if the requirements described in paragraph (2) or (3) are met.

(2) Exception where custodial parent releases claim to exemption for the year. For purposes of paragraph (1), the requirements described in this paragraph are met with respect to any calendar year if—

(A) the custodial parent signs a written declaration (in such manner and form as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe) that such custodial parent will not claim such child as a dependent for any taxable year beginning in such calendar year, and

(B) the noncustodial parent attaches such written declaration to the noncustodial parent's return for the taxable year beginning during such calendar year.

IRC section 152(e)(2) clearly requires that the custodial parent sign a written declaration releasing the dependency exemption in order for the noncustodial parent to claim the child's dependency exemption.

The control over a child's dependency exemption conferred on the custodial parent by section 152(e)(2) was intended by Congress to simplify the process of determining who is entitled to claim dependency exemptions for children of a marriage. See H. Rept. 98-432 (Part 2), at 1498 (1984). To make section 152(e)(2) work as intended, that control must be preserved by insisting on adherence to the requirements of section 152(e)(2). Simply attaching a State court order that is not signed by the custodial parent to the return of the noncustodial parent does not satisfy the express statutory requirements of section 152(e)(2)(A). Miller v. CIR, 114 T.C. 184, (2000).

As previously stated, the taxpayers did not provide a statement from the custodial parent releasing the dependent exemption [Redacted]. Since the signed release is a condition of IRC section 152(e) and no release was provided, the determination of whether the taxpayers can claim the dependent exemption reverts to IRC section 152(a).

To be allowed the dependent exemption [Redacted], the taxpayers must show that he is either a qualifying child or a qualifying relative. Regarding a qualifying child, the taxpayers have not shown that [Redacted] principal place of abode was with them for more than one-half the taxable year. Consequently, they have not met their burden of proof of showing that [Redacted] was a qualifying child in taxable year 2009.

As for a qualifying relative, the taxpayers did not show that they provided over one-half of [Redacted] support for the taxable year or that [Redacted] was not a qualifying child of any other taxpayer for the taxable year. Since [Redacted] was not the custodial parent in 2009, the presumption is that [Redacted] was a qualifying child of the custodial parent. Therefore, [Redacted] cannot be a qualifying relative for the taxpayers.

CONCLUSION

[Redacted] does not meet the requirements for either a qualifying child or a qualifying relative, as defined in IRC section 152, for the taxpayers. Therefore, the Tax Commission finds that the taxpayers are not entitled to a dependent exemption deduction [Redacted] for taxable year 2009. And since the taxpayers are not entitled to the dependent exemption, the taxpayers cannot claim the additional grocery credit [Redacted] per Idaho Code section 63-3024A.

WHEREFORE, the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated September 27, 2010, is hereby APPROVED, AFFIRMED, and MADE FINAL.

IT IS ORDERED and THIS DOES ORDER that the taxpayers pay the following tax and interest:

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TAX</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2009	\$76	\$4	\$80

DEMAND for immediate payment of the foregoing amount is hereby made and given.

An explanation of the taxpayers' right to appeal this decision is enclosed.

DATED this _____ day of _____ 2011.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this _____ day of _____ 2011, a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION was served by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[Redacted]

Receipt No.
