

BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the Protest of)	
)	DOCKET NO. 20360
[REDACTED],)	
)	DECISION
Petitioner.)	
_____)	

On June 20, 2007, the Income Tax Audit Bureau (Bureau) of the Idaho State Tax Commission issued a Notice of Deficiency Determination (NODD) to [Redacted] (taxpayer) proposing additional individual income tax, penalty, and interest in the amount of \$430 for the tax year 2005.

The taxpayer filed a timely appeal and petition for redetermination. He did not request a conference. The Tax Commission has reviewed the file, is advised of its contents, and hereby issues its decision based thereon.

Idaho Code § 63-3002 provides:

Declaration of intent. It is the intent of the legislature by the adoption of this act, insofar as possible to make the provisions of the Idaho act identical to the provisions of the Federal Internal Revenue Code relating to the measurement of taxable income, to the end that the taxable income reported each taxable year by a taxpayer to the internal revenue service shall be the identical sum reported to this state, subject only to modifications contained in the Idaho law; . . .

[Redacted] The [Redacted] audit changed the taxpayer's filing status from Head of Household to Single and changed the number of personal exemptions allowed from five to one. The changes resulted in an increase to the amount of taxable income reported to Idaho [Redacted] The Bureau issued an NODD to the taxpayer advising him of additional Idaho income tax, penalty, and interest due as a result of the increase to his taxable income.

In response to the deficiency notice, the taxpayer wrote a letter stating he had filed for an

“Audit Reconsideration” with [Redacted] and was waiting for the final determination. The taxpayer asked that the Commission suspend the NODD until he received the results of his appeal with the IRS.

The Bureau sent the taxpayer a letter acknowledging his protest [Redacted]. [Redacted]

When the taxpayer did not respond to additional requests for a copy of [Redacted] documents and/or additional information, his file was transferred to the Legal/Tax Policy Division for administrative review. A letter was sent to advise the taxpayer of his right to request a hearing or send additional information; however, the taxpayer did not respond.

The taxpayer has provided no information since the initial protest to the determination was submitted. [Redacted]

A Notice of Deficiency Determination issued by the Idaho State Tax Commission is presumed to be accurate. Parsons v. Idaho State Tax Comm’n, 110 Idaho 572 (Ct. App. 1986). Having presented no further information in support of his argument, the taxpayer has failed to meet his burden of proving error on the part of the deficiency determination. Albertson’s, Inc. v. State, Dept. of Revenue, 106 Idaho 810 (1984).

[Redacted] WHEREFORE, the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated June 20, 2007, is APPROVED, AFFIRMED, and MADE FINAL.

IT IS ORDERED and THIS DOES ORDER that the taxpayer pay the following additional tax, penalty, and interest for 2005:

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TAX</u>	<u>PENALTY</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2005	\$378	\$19	\$73	\$470

Interest has been calculated through March 15, 2009.

DEMAND for immediate payment of the foregoing amount is hereby made and given.

An explanation of the taxpayer’s right to appeal this decision is enclosed.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2008.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this _____ day of _____, 2008, a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION was served by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[REDACTED]

Receipt No.
