

BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the Protest of)	
)	DOCKET NO. 16884
[REDACTED],)	
)	DECISION
Petitioner.)	
_____)	

This case arises from a timely protest of a State Tax Commission staff's (staff) decision adjusting property tax reduction benefits for 2002. This matter was submitted for a decision based on the documents in the file. The State Tax Commission has reviewed the file and makes its decision thereon.

[Redacted] (petitioner) and [Redacted], her husband, filed a property tax reduction application on or about March 6, 2002. [Redacted] signed the application on their behalf. [Redacted] passed away shortly after the application was submitted. Pursuant to Idaho Code § 63-702, [Redacted] became the sole claimant for property tax reduction purposes.

During review of the application and the accompanying federal 1099-R forms, the staff discovered the petitioner and her spouse had received proceeds from a pension or annuity during 2001. Their federal adjusted gross income for that year included the interest portion from the distribution. However, the non-taxable portion was not added to their income for property tax reduction purposes.

All property within the jurisdiction of this state is subject to property taxes. A claimant who qualifies for property tax reduction is given a benefit for a portion of the property taxes on the dwelling he/she owns and occupies. That benefit is in the form of a payment (either total or partial) of the applicant's property taxes. The payment is funded by state sales taxes.

The amount of property tax reduction depends on income--the greater the income, the smaller the benefit. Income is defined differently for the property tax reduction program than it is described in the income tax code. Income for property tax reduction benefits purposes is defined in Idaho Code § 63-701(5) as follows:

(5) **"Income" means the sum of federal adjusted gross income** as defined in the Internal Revenue Code, as defined in section 63-3004, Idaho Code, **and** to the extent not already included in federal adjusted gross income:

(a) Alimony;

(b) Support money;

(c) Nontaxable strike benefits;

(d) **The nontaxable amount of any individual retirement account, pension or annuity,** (including railroad retirement benefits, all payments received under the federal social security act except the social security death benefit as specified in this subsection, state unemployment insurance laws, and veterans disability pensions and compensation, excluding rollovers as provided in section 402 or 403 of the Internal Revenue Code);

(e) Nontaxable interest received from the federal government or any of its instrumentalities or a state government or any of its instrumentalities;

(f) Worker's compensation; and

(g) The gross amount of loss of earnings insurance.

It does not include capital gains, gifts from nongovernmental sources or inheritances. To the extent not reimbursed, the cost of medical care as defined in section 213(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, incurred or paid by the claimant and/or, if applicable, the claimant's spouse, may be deducted from income. To the extent not reimbursed, personal funeral expenses, including prepaid funeral expenses and premiums on funeral insurance, of the claimant and claimant's spouse only, may be deducted from income up to an annual maximum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) per claim. (Emphasis added.)

The calculation of income starts with federal adjusted gross income and, thereafter, makes certain additions and deductions. The nontaxable portion of pensions and annuities are specifically required to be included in income. The only exception is if an annuity is rolled over as provided in section 402 or 403 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The staff determined the petitioner received a gross distribution in the amount of \$7,151. Of that amount, \$990 was not taxable as indicated in the petitioner and her spouse's 2001 federal income tax return. The petitioner's son, [Redacted] came to the Tax Commission office to talk with the staff. He said his father had recently passed away and he was helping his mother "sort out her financial affairs." He asked for an extension of time to allow him an opportunity to discover if the non-taxable income showing in his parents' income tax return was a qualified rollover as provided by internal revenue code. He submitted a letter of protest on behalf of his mother. Additional time was allowed, however, no additional information was submitted and neither the petitioner nor her son responded to additional correspondence.

Property tax reduction benefits are not federal or state income taxes – they are payment of property taxes based on certain qualifying factors including income. Pursuant to the definition of income as stated in Idaho Code § 63-701(5), the nontaxable portion of the pension or annuity that was distributed during 2001, although not included in income for tax purposes, must be included in income for property tax reduction purposes. As a result, the petitioner's property tax reduction benefits for 2002 must be reduced from a benefit not to exceed \$930 to a benefit not to exceed \$420.

The State Tax Commission is aware there is some potential this decision could cause a hardship to the property tax reduction applicant in certain circumstances. The proper jurisdiction to handle such hardship situations falls with the county commissioners pursuant to Idaho Code § 63-711.

WHEREFORE, the decision of the State Tax Commission's staff to reduce the amount of property tax reduction benefits the petitioner will receive for 2002 is APPROVED, AFFIRMED, and MADE FINAL.

An explanation of the petitioner's right to appeal this decision is enclosed with this decision.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2002.

IDAHO STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this _____ day of _____, 2002, served a copy of the within and foregoing DECISION by sending the same by United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

[Redacted]_____